

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Norfolk Division

ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC.,

Plaintiff

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.
2:10cv248

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Norfolk, Virginia

July 18, 2011

DAY 5, AFTERNOON SESSION

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE RAYMOND A. JACKSON
United States District Judge

1 APPEARANCES:

2 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP

By: Daniel Johnson, Jr.

3 Michael J. Lyons

Nathan W. McCutheon

4 Ahren C. Hsu-Hoffman

Dion Bregman

5 And

KAUFMAN & CANOLES

6 By: Stephen E. Noona

Counsel for the Plaintiff

8 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT, LLP

By: Henry B. Gutman

9 Noah M. Leibowitz

Patrick King

10 And

KELLOGG HUBER HANSON TODD EVANS & FIGEL

11 By: Michael K. Kellogg

Evan T. Leo

12 Wan Joo Kim

And

13 VERIZON CORPORATE RESOURCES GROUP

By: John P. Frantz

14 And

HUNTON & WILLIAMS

15 By: Brent L. VanNorman

Justin T. Arbes

16 Counsel for the Defendants

17
18 * * *

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

PLAINTIFF'S
WITNESSES

PAGE

DAN SCHONFELD (Cont'd)

Direct Examination By Mr. Lyons

781

Cross-Examination By Mr. Gutman

833

DEFENDANT'S
WITNESSES

PAGE

None

E X H I B I T S

PLAINTIFF'S
NO.

DESCRIPTION

PAGE

972-975

Documents

781

DEFENDANT'S
NO.

DESCRIPTION

PAGE

None

1 AFTERNOON SESSION

2 (Hearing commenced at 2:16 p.m.)

3 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, we have plaintiff's exhibits
4 if you would like to go through them at this time.

5 THE COURT: I didn't hear what you said.

6 MR. LYONS: We have the plaintiff's exhibits we
7 discussed earlier. We wanted to move those in.

8 THE COURT: All right. We will deal with those in
9 short order.

10 (Jury in at 2:34 p.m.)

11 THE COURT: You may be seated. The record will
12 reflect all jurors are present this afternoon. Does counsel
13 agree?

14 MR. LYONS: Yes, Your Honor.

15 MR. GUTMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right. My understanding we have the
17 hard copies of Exhibits 972 through 975.

18 MR. LYONS: That's correct, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: And you showed those to Mr. -- have you
20 shown those to Mr. Gutman?

21 MR. LYONS: We have, Your Honor.

22 MR. GUTMAN: No objection, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. Then if you will pass those
24 up.

25 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, we would move for admission

Schonfeld, D. - Direct

781

1 of those exhibits at this time.

2 THE COURT: Okay. They will be admitted. They are
3 admitted.

4 MR. LYONS: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: You may continue.

6 (The document was received in evidence and marked as
7 Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 972-975.)

8 MR. LYONS: Thank you.

9 DAN SCHONFELD, called by the Plaintiff, having been
10 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. LYONS:

13 Q. Professor Schonfeld, before we broke for lunch, we were
14 talking about claim 8 of the '578 patent. Why don't we begin
15 where we left off. Particularly, if you could describe to
16 the jury what this element of the claim is referring to.

17 A. So this particular element refers to a plurality of
18 subscriber selection devices, which are associated with the
19 home interface controller in communication with the data
20 transceiver for permitting subscriber interaction.

21 And in particular the selection device refers to
22 something like a remote control controller that the set-top
23 box. So if you look at the next slide, in the set-top box
24 there is an infrared receiver that can get signals through a
25 remote control, and if you look at the next slide, the remote

1 control, whenever you press buttons that generate signals,
2 that are transmitted in the form of infrared signals to the
3 setup device.

4 Q. Professor, can you explain how the set-top box receives
5 the signals from the remote control?

6 A. Sure. If -- we saw this figure earlier which depicts the
7 architecture within the setup device and within the set-top
8 box, and one of the components, which you see on the bottom
9 left-hand side, is referred to as IR-RC RX. This is the
10 infrared light receiver on the set-top box that actually
11 receives the signal.

12 And through the MOCA transceiver that we spoke about
13 earlier, it goes through the cable which has a bi-directional
14 arrow through to the network all the way up to the headend.

15 Q. So for this element of this claim of the '578 patent is
16 it met by the FiOS TV system?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. Why don't we check that element off and go to the next
19 one. Can you explain what this element is referring to? I
20 know this is a long element so you may want to break it up.

21 A. Okay. So the general description of this particular
22 element is describing characteristics that must be met by
23 what the interactive controller, that is, the processor in
24 the headend that actually performs the function for doing
25 this in the proper manner, and the Court has construed the

1 term interactive controller, or other interactive controller
2 as equipment for providing information services and for
3 communications -- and for communicating with a home interface
4 controller.

5 Q. And what about the term television communication? Is
6 that also a term the Court has construed?

7 A. That's correct. The term television communication is
8 providing an information service via a television information
9 signal.

10 Q. And assignable television communication, is that one the
11 Court has construed?

12 A. That's correct. The assignable television communication
13 has been construed by the Court as an information service
14 provided via a television information signal capable of being
15 assigned to a home interface controller.

16 Q. Did you use these interpretations in your infringement
17 analysis?

18 A. I did.

19 Q. Why don't we go through this element one part at a time.
20 So let me just take the first language in this element and
21 explain whether you concluded whether it has been met by the
22 FiOS TV system?

23 A. Okay. So the first portion of this element is a
24 plurality of interactive controllers disposed at the headend.
25 And if you go to the next slide, this is -- back to the same

1 schematic diagram that we saw all day today of the NextGen
2 system, in particular, there is -- in the bottom portion of
3 the video hub office, the video streamer section, there is
4 the Cisco streamer server, and that's the interactive
5 controller as well as the GigE card, which is what we spoke
6 about earlier that actually does the streaming, multiple GigE
7 cards in each of the streamers.

8 And if we look at the same thing for the SeaChange
9 system, similarly, there is a Mediacluster, and there are
10 Mediacluster servers, and specifically the interactive
11 controller is the Mediacluster server within the Mediacluster
12 as well as the edge card within the Mediacluster server used
13 for the actual streaming.

14 Q. Now why don't we go back to the claim. Now, the
15 interactive controllers, are they in television communication
16 with the information source?

17 A. They are.

18 Q. Can you explain it?

19 A. So if we go into the next slide, again, looking at the
20 NextGen system, we see the interactive controller, which is
21 highlighted in the bottom of the video hub office, and this
22 is in television communication with information that is --
23 there is MPEG 2 signals stored in the video demand storage as
24 well as in the cache off the interactive controller and that
25 information is sent and communicated between the vault in the

1 streamer server as well as between the cache and the GigE
2 card within the streamer server, and it is all in the form of
3 MPEG 2.

4 And if we look at the NextGen system, the SeaChange
5 system, and once again we have the Mediaccluster, and the
6 Mediaccluster itself actually has all of the MPEG 2 data
7 stored in it, and this is in the form of MPEG 2 once again,
8 and it is sent over to the edge card within the Mediaccluster
9 server, and in the form of MPEG 2 prior to transmission.

10 Q. And, Professor Schonfeld, are these interactive
11 controllers also an assignable television communication over
12 the network with an assigned home interface controller?

13 A. Yeah, they are.

14 Q. All right.

15 A. So if we look at the next slide, so, once again, we look
16 at the interactive controller, and the interactive
17 controller, in the case of the NextGen system, is, once
18 again, the stream server and the GigE card on the stream
19 server, and this sends MPEG 2 streams all the way from that
20 server in the form of MPEG 2 all the way to the set-top box.

21 And if we look at the next slide, the same for the
22 SeaChange system, the Mediaccluster server in the underlying
23 edge card on the server, on the Mediaccluster server sends
24 MPEG 2 streams, which is a television information signal, all
25 the way to the set-top box, to the set-top box.

1 Q. Professor, those are assigned communications?

2 A. They are.

3 Q. How is that done?

4 A. The -- through the process of setting up the session and
5 the poke hole. At that point the signal is sent, in the case
6 of some -- in the case of NextGen system from the GigE card
7 on the steering server, it is sent in the form of MPEG 2, and
8 the MPEG 2 is encapsulated in the MPEG 2 transient stream
9 packets, which is further embedded into UVP and IV packets,
10 and those are addressed in such a way that they can be sent
11 all the way down to the set-top box itself.

12 And the same is true for the SeaChange system except
13 it starts at the Mediacluster server and the underlying edge
14 card on the Mediacluster server, but otherwise it is still
15 MPEG 2 that is put into MPEG 2 transfer stream encapsulated
16 in UVP and IP packets and addressed in such a way that it can
17 be sent all the way to the set-top box.

18 Q. Now, the interactive controller, let's look at the third
19 characteristic. Are they an assignable data communication
20 over the data communication link with the assigned home
21 interface controller?

22 A. They are.

23 Q. And what is that referring to?

24 A. So it is referring to the fact that you can have exchange
25 of data in addition to the MPEG 2 stream, which is in

1 television communications. You have exchange of data in the
2 form of data communication link between the interactive
3 controller and the home interface controller, which is the
4 set-top box.

5 Q. Now, what data communications are you referring to in the
6 FiOS system?

7 A. So in the case of the data communications, data
8 communications are primarily trick play functionality. So we
9 spoke earlier about how the system had the ability to send
10 trick play functionality in order to be able to change the --
11 what is going on in terms of the streaming functionality of
12 the MPEG 2. And so trick play would be the main
13 functionality.

14 And in addition to that, the -- some of the stun
15 binding that we spoke about earlier are also data
16 communications that are between the two, you know, to be able
17 to actually allow the stream to come all the way to the
18 set-top box.

19 Q. What about in the case of the SeaChange system, is there
20 data communications there?

21 A. Yes. If we go back for a second, so the data
22 communication is depicted over here. You have communication
23 between the set-top box, goes through the control server to
24 the streamer in order to affect trick play functionality.
25 And you also have direct communication in the form of the

1 stun binding when it is actually established at the stun
2 binding in both directions.

3 And then if we go to the next slide, in the case of
4 SeaChange, once again, you have trick play functionality
5 going through the connection manager which then controls and
6 communicates to the forward communications and the
7 information to the Mediacluster. And in addition to that,
8 you also have the edge card ping, which is the thing -- is
9 done by ping in terms of its goal.

10 Q. Thank you. Why don't we look at the last part of this
11 element, and that says, so that the interactive controller
12 furnishes the information service interactively over the
13 network to the assigned home interface controller and its
14 associated television.

15 Is that language met by the FiOS TV system?

16 A. It is.

17 Q. Explain.

18 A. So the interactive controller, the streaming servers in
19 the underlying GigE card, in the case of the NextGen system,
20 as well as the Mediacluster server and the underlying edge
21 card, in the case of the SeaChange systems, provide the
22 interactivity, allows you to stream Video on Demand, allows
23 you to do the trick play functionality, all the activity that
24 is required by this portion of this limitation.

25 Q. So is this entire element met by the FiOS TV system?

1 A. It is.

2 Q. All right. Why don't we check that off. And move on to
3 the limitation of the dependent claim, claim 9. Can you
4 explain what this claim refers to?

5 A. So dependent claim 9, similar to dependent claim 2, which
6 we spoke in the '678 in the morning and the dependent claim,
7 which means that in order to satisfy this particular claim,
8 you have to satisfy all of the limitations, each and every
9 element of claim 8. And then in addition to that satisfy
10 claim 9, and in particular claim 9 requires that the data
11 communication link is over the network.

12 And it clearly, in the event -- in the case of the
13 FiOS system, also with NextGen as well as the SeaChange
14 system, the data communication link is over the FiOS network
15 in the form of the signalling that goes on from the home over
16 the remote line up through the wavelength that I spoke about
17 for digital signalling through the trunk all the way up to
18 the video hub office.

19 Q. So is this claim infringed by the FiOS TV system?

20 A. It is.

21 Q. Why don't we check that off. So does that complete your
22 analysis of the infringement of claim 9 of the '578 patent?

23 A. It does.

24 Q. Now, have you considered other patents and whether they
25 are infringed?

1 A. Yeah. I have considered another, the last one is the
2 '883 in terms of the interactive TV patents.

3 Q. Turn to that '883 patent. Specifically claim 1, is this
4 one of the claims that you considered?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Why don't we look at the first element of claim 1.
7 Explain what this element is referring to, this portion of
8 the claim.

9 A. So, again, this is talking about a method for providing
10 interactive service on a cable television system that
11 distributes television signals from the cable headend over an
12 information service distribution network to a plurality of
13 subscriber television sets.

14 So, again, this means that the cable television
15 system which allows interactive services.

16 Q. Is this met by the FiOS TV system?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. Can you explain that?

19 A. So, again, in the case of the FiOS system is the cable
20 television system an interactivity is provided both through
21 the FiOS networking system, the digital signalling, as well
22 as through the equipment provided for the Video On Demand,
23 which in the case of the NextGen system is depicted in this
24 particular figure and in the next figure, the SeaChange
25 system provides interactivity over the FiOS system, as well.

1 Q. This element is met?

2 A. It is.

3 Q. Let's check that off and move on to the next one. Can
4 you explain what this element is?

5 A. So this calls for detecting at a node on the information
6 service distribution network a request, from a home interface
7 controller associated with one of the subscriber television
8 sets, for an information service in an interactive mode.

9 Q. Now, is that term interactive node, has that been
10 interpreted by the Court?

11 A. Yes, it has. And the definition of interactive node is
12 the node is providing an information service to the home
13 interface controllers. The home interface controller may but
14 need not be furnishing data to the node as to what
15 information service to provide.

16 Q. Now, is this element of the '883 met by the FiOS system?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. Explain that.

19 A. So if we go into the next slide, if you recall, the RTP
20 session setup request information, that goes from the set-top
21 box, it is detected by the equipment in the open stream, in
22 particular the session resource manager, which is the SRM.

23 Q. Explain for the SeaChange system, as well.

24 A. And in addition to that, also that that particular
25 request for a session is a request for a session in the

1 context of an information service. And for the SeaChange
2 system, it's exactly the same thing. It is the same RTSP
3 session setup request message going from the set-top box to
4 the RTSP gateway and ultimately going all the way up to the
5 session resource manager in the command center of the
6 SeaChange system.

7 Q. This element of the '883 patent, claim 1 is met; is that
8 right?

9 A. It is.

10 Q. Let's check that off and go onto the next element. Can
11 you explain what this is?

12 A. So this is a controlling at a processor in the node in
13 response to detection of the request, an interactive session
14 with the requesting home interface controller. So this is
15 referring to the fact that once we have detected that
16 particular request in the previous limitation, we now are
17 going to have an interactive session, and we are going to
18 control this interactive session.

19 Q. Is that done in the FiOS TV system?

20 A. It is.

21 Q. Describe that.

22 A. So in the case of the NextGen system, and once the
23 message has been detected by the session resource manager in
24 the open stream server, the session resource manager
25 communicates with a control server in the VOD stream,

1 streaming section, the setup portion, and then assigns a
2 streaming server, a streaming server as well as a GigE card.
3 And so it provides for all of the assignments necessary to
4 control the interactive session in order to allow streaming.

5 Q. And what about the SeaChange platform?

6 A. So the same thing is true in the case of the SeaChange.
7 At this time it goes up to the -- the request goes up to the
8 session resource manager, detected in the session resource
9 manager within the command center, and within the session
10 resource manager, it then in communication with the
11 connection manager, allocates the Mediacluster server as well
12 as the edge card on the Mediacluster itself and controls all
13 of the allocation needed within that interactive session.

14 Q. Is this element also infringed by the FiOS TV system?

15 A. It is.

16 Q. Let's check that element off, and go onto the next one.
17 Can you explain what this is?

18 A. So the next limitation is providing an information signal
19 capable of full motion video responsive to the interactive
20 session through the information service distribution network
21 to the subscriber television set associated with the
22 requesting home interface controller for display of an image
23 produced by the information signal.

24 Q. Now, is information signal a term that's been interpreted
25 by the Court?

1 A. It has.

2 Q. Can you explain that?

3 A. So information signal has been construed as any signal
4 that may be utilized by a television for video display,
5 regardless of the form, including a standard NTSC modulated
6 RF carrier and MPEG compressed data stream or any other
7 format.

8 Q. Did you use that construction in your analysis?

9 A. I did.

10 Q. And what did you conclude about whether this limitation
11 is met?

12 A. So if we look at the case of the NextGen system, once
13 again, the streaming servers and the GigE card within the
14 video hub office streams an MPEG 2 signal, which is an
15 information signal, and it is sent all the way to the set-top
16 box. And in the case of the SeaChange system, once again,
17 the same thing is true, within the Mediacluster server, and
18 within the edge card Mediacluster server and the MPEG 2
19 stream, which is an information signal, sent all the way to
20 the set-top box for display.

21 Q. So is this limitation infringed by FiOS TV?

22 A. It is.

23 Q. Let's check that element off. Let's go onto the last
24 element. Can you explain this.

25 A. So the last element is receiving data communications at

1 the processor from the requesting home interface controller
2 during the interactive session representative of commands
3 interactive with the image on the associated subscriber
4 television set.

5 Q. Can you explain what that means, representative of
6 commands interactive with image in the associated subscriber
7 television set? What is that talking about?

8 A. The kind of example this is talking about is something
9 like trick play functionality, so the commands for stop,
10 rewind, fast forward, this type of command.

11 Q. And is this element met by the FiOS TV system?

12 A. It is.

13 Q. Can you explain that?

14 A. So in the case of the NextGen system, if you recall,
15 commands -- trick play commands are sent from the set-top box
16 to the control server, which in turns forwards the
17 information to the stream server into the GigE card in order
18 to be able to modify the streaming to meet the trick play
19 request.

20 And in the case of the -- so if we go onto the next
21 slide, this shows an example of the Flintstones system where
22 if you go to the next slide, commands of the -- from the
23 remote control can be used to actually modify and interact
24 with the image by doing trick play functionality.

25 And then -- those commands can be, as I say, pause,

1 rewind, fast forward and so on. And the same thing can be
2 done for the SeaChange system, that is, requests go on from
3 the set-top box, in this case through the RPS gateway through
4 the connection manager, from the connection manager to the
5 Mediaclass server and the specific edge card within the
6 Mediaclass server in order to perform trick play
7 functionality of the same type; pause, rewind, fast forward,
8 et cetera.

9 Q. So is this element infringed by the FiOS TV system?

10 A. It is.

11 Q. So let's check that off. So does that conclude your
12 analysis of claim 1?

13 A. It does.

14 Q. And what is your opinion on whether claim 1 is infringed?

15 A. Claim 1 of the '883 is infringed by both the Verizon
16 practicing VOD with NextGen as well as with the SeaChange
17 system.

18 Q. Okay. We've got one claim left on this group of patents.
19 So why don't we move to that. First of all, can you explain
20 claim 26 and how it relates to other claims in the patent.

21 A. So claim 26 is also a dependent claim but it depends on
22 claim 24 as well as 25. So we'll have to -- in order to
23 establish whether claim 26 is met -- or by Verizon system, we
24 have to go -- I have to do the analysis of each and every
25 limitation of claim 24 followed by claim 25 as well as claim

1 26 in order to establish an infringement of claim 26.

2 Q. So let's go through those limitations. Let's start with
3 claim 24, and the first element, can you explain what this is
4 referring to.

5 A. So generally it refers to an interactive service over a
6 cable television system of the type that we saw earlier
7 today, and in particular it requires information sources to
8 be available, and it requires that information service be
9 provided over a distributed network.

10 Q. And is that done in the Verizon system?

11 A. It is.

12 Q. Can you explain that?

13 A. So the FiOS system is -- is a cable television system
14 through both the NextGen as well as the SeaChange system. It
15 provides for interactivity and interactive television, and in
16 particular, in the case of the super headend, contains vault,
17 which is an information source. In the case of the video hub
18 office, it contains, for the NextGen system, a cache is part
19 of the streaming server, and in the case of the SeaChange
20 system, it's the Mediacluster itself that serves as a -- that
21 serves as an information source. And finally the information
22 distribution network is the FiOS system itself, the FiOS
23 network itself.

24 Q. So this section of the claim is infringed by the FiOS TV
25 system; is that right?

1 A. Yes. We can actually, if we go back to the previous
2 slide, I can point out -- if we go back one slide, I can
3 point out the information sources in the NextGen system,
4 which is the vault in the case of the super headend of the
5 NextGen system, and the cache is not shown over here. It's
6 in the open stream of -- sorry, in the Video On Demand
7 streaming, particularly in the streaming server, and the only
8 reference to it is the cache filled request response
9 referring to the cache residing on it. And in the next slide
10 we have the Mediaclass servers which are the information
11 source elements themselves.

12 Q. And so is this element infringed by the FiOS TV system?

13 A. It is.

14 Q. So let's check that off. And move onto the next element,
15 and can you explain what this element is referring to?

16 A. So this particular element talks about the home interface
17 controller, headend transceiver and having a selection input
18 for receiving signals from the subscriber selection device.

19 Q. Does the FiOS TV system infringe this element?

20 A. It does.

21 Q. Can you explain that?

22 A. So the home interface controller would be the set-top box
23 that pick up on the right-hand side of this particular
24 figure, and this could be a much lower set-top box, and in
25 the case -- and it shows the communication over here between

1 the set-top box in the SRM. And if we go to the next slide,
2 we have the set-top box of the same type, and it shows
3 communication again between the set-top box and the RTSP
4 gateway, which has access to both the SRM as well as the
5 connection manager. And if we go to the next slide, once
6 again we see the schematic of the set-top box itself which
7 contains a transceiver, in particular the remote transceiver,
8 that is the transmitted and receiving ability for
9 communication over the cable in the house to the FiOS network
10 system.

11 And it also has -- if we go onto the next slide, the
12 IR-RC RX, that's the infrared signal receiver coming from the
13 remote control and received by the set-top box that we spoke
14 about earlier.

15 Q. So is this element of the claim met by the FiOS TV
16 system?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. Let's check that element off. And go onto the next one.
19 This is a long element. Why don't you try to describe what
20 we are looking at here.

21 A. Okay. So it's a long element. It refers to a processor.
22 So the processor we are referring to is the processor in the
23 headend, and it has some sub-functionalities, and they refer
24 to -- the first functionality relates to control data that is
25 generated by the selection device.

1 So that would be the kind of trick play selection
2 generated by the remote control. And then the second portion
3 would be control data which is received by the processor. So
4 that control data has to go all the way from the remote
5 control through the set-top through the network all the way
6 to the headend and into the processor itself.

7 And then the third portion has to do with
8 information signals which are provided by the processor, that
9 is the actual signal itself, and then finally the information
10 signal has to be modified in response to the selection device
11 request. So in response to doing a command like trick play
12 such as stop, fast forward, rewind, the information signal
13 has to be modified in some way in response to it.

14 Q. And is this element met by the FiOS TV system?

15 A. It is.

16 Q. Can you explain that?

17 A. So the processor, in the case of the FiOS TV system using
18 the NextGen system, would be the streaming service and the
19 underlying GigE card within it. The signal generated, in the
20 case of the SeaChange system, it would be the Mediacluster
21 and the underlying edge card within that. In terms of the
22 element requiring infrared signals to be generated by the
23 selection device by the remote control, that is satisfied in
24 the same way for both SeaChange as well as the NextGen
25 system.

1 And it communicates -- it is received by the set-top
2 box, communicates through the set-top box, and in the
3 transceiver going all the way up to the processor to the
4 respective processor in each of the SeaChange and NextGen
5 system. And it provides the trick play functionality within
6 the remote control -- when the button responding to trick,
7 pause, fast forward, rewind, are pressed. And then the GigE
8 card and the edge card in each of those particular systems
9 are used to actually field the signal itself.

10 And then finally the trick play functionality in
11 response to those trick play requests, the signals themselves
12 are modified so that when you pause, fast forward, rewind,
13 the signal changes.

14 Q. And now have you concluded whether this element of the
15 claim is met by the FiOS TV system?

16 A. I have.

17 Q. And what is your conclusion?

18 A. It is met.

19 Q. All right. I want to check that element off and move
20 onto the element of claim 25. Can you explain what this is?

21 A. Okay. So claim 25 talks about the interactive television
22 information system according to claim 24 wherein said
23 processor is one of a plurality of processors, each processor
24 being an assignable module to one of said home interface
25 controllers in interactive mode.

1 Q. And, again, assignable module, what do you understand
2 that to refer to?

3 A. The Court has already construed an assignable module to
4 have its plain and ordinary meaning. An assignable module
5 once you the allocate a particular processor, and it is a
6 module, which means you can take it and replace it, take the
7 server and remove it and replace it by another server, or
8 take the card and remove it and replace it by another card.
9 That is what it is generally referring to. And the claim
10 also talks about the interactive mode that we have defined it
11 earlier.

12 Q. Is this element met by the FiOS TV system?

13 A. It is.

14 Q. Explain.

15 A. So in the case of the NextGen system, the process server
16 we are talking about is the streaming server and its
17 underlying GigE card. And the streaming server and its
18 underlying GigE card are allocated, they are assigned, and
19 they are a module.

20 And the same thing is true for the SeaChange system
21 where, once again, the Mediacluster server and its underlying
22 edge card are allocated, they are assigned, and they are a
23 module once again.

24 Q. So it's the -- is claim 25 met by the FiOS TV system?

25 A. It is.

1 Q. Let's check that off and look at claim 26. Can you
2 explain what this is referring to?

3 A. So claim 26 is the interactive television information
4 system according to claim 25, further comprising a network
5 manager for assigning and available one of said processors to
6 furnish interactive service to one of said home interface
7 controllers in interactive mode based on data obtained from
8 the data communication path so that the assignment of
9 processors to the home interface controller is accomplished
10 on a demand basis.

11 Q. And is that met by the FiOS TV system?

12 A. It is.

13 Q. Please explain that.

14 A. So in the case of the NextGen system, both the session
15 resource manager as well as the control server perform the
16 assignment in the sense that the session resource manager
17 assigns the control server, and then subsequently the control
18 server assigns the streaming server as well as the underlying
19 GigE card within the communication of the set-top box. And
20 the same is true for the next element where -- for the
21 SeaChange system where you have a session resource manager,
22 which in connection with the connection manager provides the
23 assignment of the Mediacluster server as well as the edge
24 card within the Mediacluster server for streaming signals to
25 the set-top box.

1 Q. So are the elements in claim 26 met by the FiOS TV
2 system?

3 A. They are.

4 Q. So does that conclude all of your infringement analysis
5 for claim 26, the '883 patent?

6 A. It does.

7 Q. And what conclusion have you reached regarding
8 infringement?

9 A. Both the FiOS system with the NextGen VOD system as well
10 as with the SeaChange system infringe claim 26 of the '883
11 patent.

12 Q. All right, Professor. We've been talking about three of
13 the Hoarty patents. Is there another patent that you
14 considered in connection with your work in the case?

15 A. Yes, there is.

16 Q. And what is that patent?

17 A. So as I mentioned earlier, there were four patents. So
18 far this has three of them, and the last one is a different
19 patent, it's the '582 patent which I sometimes referred to
20 earlier in my discussion as the frame server patent.

21 Q. And you talked about earlier how the three patents you
22 talked about earlier today have the same description in the
23 patent. Is that also true for this patent?

24 A. No. This is a different specification, not only a
25 different set of claims but different specification,

1 different description.

2 Q. Can you just generally describe what this frame server
3 patent is directed to?

4 A. Sure. So the frame server patent has the following
5 principles. It is meant to be a dual platform patent. So
6 the idea behind the dual platform patent, is that instead of
7 having an interactive television in the service platform, you
8 have a separate platform that can offload a lot of deposits
9 required for doing simple frequent requests for things like
10 webpage type thing, type information, which don't require as
11 much bandwidth, don't require as much processing, and so the
12 frame server is meant to handle a very large number of those
13 particular requests and offload and allow the interactive
14 television platform to handle the headend requirements for
15 processing and bandwidth required for doing video.

16 Q. Now, Professor, would you explain what the relationship
17 is between this frame server patent and the other patents
18 you've discussed?

19 A. So the frame server patent is meant to complement
20 something like interactive television patents that we
21 discussed previously. Those focus exclusively on doing
22 something like Video On Demand services or interactive
23 television services that require a very large amount of
24 bandwidth, very large amount of processing, and this is meant
25 to complement it.

1 And, in fact, if you go into the next slide, it was
2 meant to provide something that would complement it and make
3 the overall system more efficient, and it is actually
4 referenced in the next slide. You can actually see -- the
5 patent actually discusses it in the context where it refers
6 back to the original patent, the '578 patent in particular.

7 Q. Now, you referred to the frame server being used to send
8 a certain kind of information. Can you explain what you're
9 referring to.

10 A. So the type of information to distinguish from something
11 like a Video On Demand type information, the type of
12 information that a frame server would provide would be
13 something that is referred to as interactive pages. And the
14 interactive pages is a term that has been construed by the
15 Court, and it is defined as pages that permit user
16 interaction, including still video frame images or multimedia
17 short script for interpretation by a local process such as a
18 typical page of HTML data as practiced by conventional web
19 browsers.

20 Q. Can you give me an example of an interactive pages?

21 A. So the kind of example of a interactive page would be
22 something simple like a simple static page from a website
23 such as a CNN page. An example presented in the patent
24 itself and actually this is a picture from -- this is a
25 figure taken directly from the patent.

1 Q. Now, if you just describe in practice how this dual
2 platform system you are talking about would work.

3 A. Okay. So if you wanted to do something like have the
4 ability to view such a page, instead of through your
5 computer, to view it through your television set, you would
6 go -- you would have a dual platform system, which if you go
7 to the next slide, the dual platform system would allow you
8 to have access to the interactive controller platform. That
9 is the type of platform we discussed up till now in the other
10 three patents which requires a lot of bandwidth and a lot of
11 processing power.

12 If you were to do something like a Video On Demand
13 functionality but you'd also have access to a frame server
14 platform, to allow you to deal with a functionality that is
15 much simpler, much more frequent, and you do not want to
16 waste the resources of the interactive controller platform on
17 such functionality.

18 And if you go to next slide, you can see that the
19 frame server platform, instead of using it -- instead of
20 using something that would stream in home movie, we use the
21 frame server platform for streaming a single interactive
22 page.

23 If you go onto the next slide, you can see that if
24 you still wanted to have access to a movie, you would go back
25 to the interactive controller platform and then you'd use

1 those heavy resources that are required.

2 Q. Now, this dual platform system that you're referring to,
3 is that described in the claims frame server patent?

4 A. It is.

5 Q. Can you explain that?

6 A. So if you look at the -- if you look at the claim, the
7 claim is over here on the right-hand side and it has various
8 elements. I can go through shortly in detail. But the first
9 three elements are elements we have seen before. The fourth
10 element is very similar to what we have studied. It is just
11 the interactive processor in which requires much more
12 intensive bandwidth, much more intensive processing, and
13 that's the kind of interactive controller we have seen
14 earlier today.

15 In the new element for the dual platform would be
16 the frame server element that would allow you to actually
17 have access to the interactive pages separately and not
18 burden the interactive controller with request for simple
19 pages.

20 Q. Now, on the FiOS TV system, does it use some sort of dual
21 platform system?

22 A. It does.

23 Q. Can you explain?

24 A. So in the case of the FiOS system, it uses interactive
25 controllers we have already seen. We have seen the NextGen

1 system, which relies on the Mediacluster server in its
2 underlying -- sorry, let me take it back. For the NextGen
3 system, it relies on the streaming server and its underlying
4 GigE card. And then in the case of the SeaChange system, it
5 relies on the Mediacluster server in it's underlying edge
6 card. Those correspond to the interactive processor.

7 In terms of the frame server, there is three types
8 of frame servers. One of them relates to widgets, which is
9 called a widget server, and the other two relates to Video On
10 Demand but not for the actual movie but just for information
11 about the movie, but kind of posters we saw earlier when I
12 was showing you the videos, that piece of information does
13 not come from the Video On Demand server. It comes from a
14 separate server. It used to be the CDAC server used by
15 SeaChange system and later it is now the IMG server.

16 Q. Now, you had indicated that the first elements of the
17 claims are similar to what we looked at before. Why don't we
18 walk through those, and I'll let you explain element by
19 element. Why don't we put the first element of this claim
20 and you can explain.

21 A. Okay. So this is referring to an information service
22 distribution network for delivering information services from
23 a headend to a subscriber television, and this is precisely
24 what the FiOS network does. It is an information service
25 distribution network, and it delivers information services

1 all the way from the video hub office, from the VHO, through
2 the VHO to the set-top box in the television screen.

3 Q. So this element is met by the FiOS TV system?

4 A. It is.

5 Q. Let's look at the next almost. Can you explain what this
6 is?

7 A. The next element is the plurality of home interface
8 controllers, each home interface controller associated with a
9 subscriber television and having a data transceiver operated
10 over a data communication link to the headend.

11 Q. And is that met by the FiOS TV system?

12 A. It is met -- it is met by the FiOS TV system. In
13 particular it is met by the set-top boxes and the set-top box
14 allow communication over the transceivers all the way to the
15 video hub offices. We have gone through that discussion in
16 detail earlier.

17 Q. Go to the next element. Check that element off for the
18 next element. Can you explain what this?

19 A. This is referring to the plurality of subscriber
20 selection devices. Each such device associated with a home
21 interface controller in communication with the data
22 transceiver thereof. And so, once again, the selection
23 device is the remote controller that we have seen all the way
24 through, and it is in communication with the data transceiver
25 and the signals go all the way up to the headend. So this is

1 true for both the SeaChange as well as NextGen system.

2 Q. Let's check that element and look at the next element of
3 the claim. Can you explain this?

4 A. Sure. So this is a plurality of individually assignable
5 processors disposed at the headend in assignable data
6 communication within assigned home interface controller and
7 in television communication over the network with the
8 subscriber television associated with the assigned home
9 interface controller.

10 Q. And has the Court interpreted the individually assignable
11 processors term?

12 A. It has.

13 Q. Can you explain that?

14 A. So the claim -- the construction provided by the Court of
15 individually assignable processors are processors that are
16 capable of being assigned on a one-to-one basis to home
17 interface controllers.

18 Q. And did you use this instruction in your analysis?

19 A. I did.

20 Q. And is this element met by the FiOS TV system?

21 A. It is.

22 Q. Can you explain that, please?

23 A. So if you look at the case of the NextGen system, and you
24 have a control server in the Video On Demand streaming and
25 then you have a streaming server in the GigE card, all of

1 which are assigned in response to a home interface
2 controller. The assignment itself is done on a one-to-one
3 basis. And in particular -- it provides for television
4 communication as required -- as required over the network all
5 the way down to the home interface controller. So there is
6 television communication allowing streaming from the
7 streaming server and the GigE card all the way down to the
8 home interface controller. And if we go on to the SeaChange
9 system, the same is true over here. We have the Mediacluster
10 server and the underlying edge card. Those are again
11 assignable on a one-to-one basis. They are capable of being
12 assigned on a one-to-one basis and provide television
13 communication and streaming all the way down the set-top box.

14 Q. So is this element met for the FiOS TV system?

15 A. It is.

16 Q. Okay. Now leaves us with the last element that refers to
17 the frame server. And, first of all, can you explain for the
18 jury, do you conclude whether the FiOS TV system has such a
19 frame server?

20 A. Yes, they do.

21 Q. And what do they have that corresponds to that element?

22 A. So as I mentioned in terms of the frame server itself,
23 there are two categories. The first one is something called
24 the widgets, which are simple programs for doing simple
25 tasks. And those are provided by widget server shown over

1 here. And then there is a separate category that I will
2 discuss later which has to do with Video On Demand catalogs.

3 Those are the kind of posters and information that
4 is provided when you choose a Video On Demand service, and
5 those are provided in the form of a CDAC server or -- and
6 then later an IMG server.

7 Q. Professor, just for a moment we are looking at in this
8 figure, Plaintiff's Exhibit 60, which has been previously
9 admitted, but can you just describe what is being depicted
10 here and where this equipment is actually located in the FiOS
11 TV system?

12 A. Okay. So if you look at this figure closely, you'll see
13 on the very left-hand side there is an STB that is the
14 set-top box on the very far left right above the PC and BHR.
15 And then you will see the equipment that I've identified.
16 There is a Video On Demand server that is not -- the Video On
17 Demand server is part of the interactive TV server, the heavy
18 processor, and then you have a frame server for widgets
19 depicted over here, the second one down. And then the one on
20 the bottom is a frame server for VOD catalogs referred to as
21 IPG. And so the two in green are the two frame servers.

22 Q. And specifically in what facility of the FiOS TV system,
23 where are those located?

24 A. So actually it's a little harder to see but if you look
25 there, there is a box around and it says on top VHO. That is

1 the video hub office where they reside.

2 Q. And so that's the same place you showed photos of; is
3 that correct?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. And so where in your photos would that equipment have
6 been located?

7 A. It would be -- if we saw the door earlier, it would be to
8 the back -- it would be back away from the door and to the
9 left.

10 Q. Now, we have a demonstrative video we'd like to play just
11 showing how the user interface operates for some of these
12 widgets and other things if we could publish that to the
13 jury.

14 THE COURT: All right. Any objection to the video,
15 the demo?

16 MR. GUTMAN: No, as a demonstrative, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Only for demonstrative purposes.

18 BY MR. GUTMAN:

19 Q. Play this video, and, Professor, if you could explain
20 what we are looking at here.

21 A. So if we continue, and so we are going through the menu
22 system, and through the menu system we want to choose the
23 widgets operation on the left column of the television
24 screen, and so that allows us to have access to the widgets.

25 And within the widgets we can choose a whole array

1 of different applications, different widgets provided. You
2 can play the video. And then at that point now it is loading
3 the widgets option, and you can see a number of widgets
4 available that are listed over here. And the one on the
5 right is the Home Shopping Network or shop by remote. And
6 this is selected. And now it's loading. Again, it is asking
7 to wait. And so it is downloading the image, and you can see
8 this -- all the information on the screen is based on
9 information provided and generated in the widget server
10 itself.

11 And then you can just simply navigate through one
12 interactive page after the other by going through different
13 options on this particular screen of the Home Shopping
14 Network.

15 BY MR. LYONS:

16 Q. Thank you, Professor. So could you explain in a little
17 more detail exactly how these widgets operate and what they
18 do.

19 A. You want me to go through the entire operation?

20 Q. Yeah. If you could just describe some of the different
21 widgets that are available on the system.

22 A. Okay. If we go back -- if we go to the next slide, and
23 the one after that. So here we see an example of loading a
24 widget, which is called a hot on demand. It is one of an
25 array. You heard earlier Mr. Kassam talk about widgets in

1 the video deposition, and he listed the fact that a whole
2 array of widgets, and they are constantly changing. So
3 people load and remove widgets all the time, and hot on
4 demand is one of the widgets available, and you can see how
5 it is loading up on top.

6 And the next one, the one after that, if we go onto
7 the next slide, it will be a horoscope widget, so you can
8 just press a button on the remote and it will give you your
9 horoscope information. And then it is a similar
10 functionality. And the next one after that would be widgets.
11 If you go to the next slide would be a widgets for motorcycle
12 ads. So advertisements would be another example of widgets
13 that are available. And the number of widgets is much larger
14 than that.

15 Q. Now, are there different kinds of widgets provided in the
16 FiOS TV system?

17 A. There are different types of widgets.

18 Q. Can you explain that?

19 A. Well, there are different widgets. Some of them are
20 referred to as resident widgets which reside on the set-top
21 box, and they include traffic, headline news, sports
22 headlines, horoscope and community widgets. And there are
23 other widgets that require a downloading regularly of the
24 widgets from the server itself.

25 Q. Focusing on headline widgets, how do they interact with

1 the server?

2 A. So if you go to the next slide, so here it shows two
3 types of widgets, one of which -- it says over here on the
4 left, widgets still on the IMG FE which are based on the IMG
5 servers, and widgets on the bottom on the EF, it is an
6 enhanced services, which is the widget server. And you can
7 see on the call signals on the side, each shows both the IMG
8 servers, that is the middle column, and the widget servers,
9 that the second from the left, the ES column, and as the
10 information, for example, for get weather, which is the first
11 line going from the STB to the IMG server, arrives at the IMG
12 server. It subsequently goes to the data center to retrieve
13 information that in turn may go to the data source to get
14 information about weather, depending on your zip code, and
15 that information is then retrieved by the IMG server and sent
16 back to the set-top box.

17 And in the case of another application, which
18 resides on the widget server itself, in that particular case
19 you have a command, you have data that is a line shown --
20 yeah. Over here. It will be second from the bottom on the
21 left side. And that would go from the set-top box to the
22 widget server, and then in turn, in response to information
23 that it means it will go to the data center once again, and
24 then go to the data source from there, if needed, retrieve
25 the information that is needed, and then send information

1 back in the form, in both cases in the form of XML files that
2 will contain data to the set-top box.

3 Q. Okay. What other kinds of widgets are there?

4 A. There is another class of widgets, if we go onto the next
5 slide, which are referred to as Lua widgets, and those are
6 widgets where you download the entire application and the
7 data. So you download the program that specifies the
8 application as well as the data in order to be able to use
9 that particular widgets. And Lua just refers to a particular
10 name of the program language. And this list is a list
11 provided by Mr. Kassam in his deposition of some of the
12 widgets available.

13 Q. Why don't we just look at the first one in the list
14 there, the Facebook widget. Can you -- or Facebook Lua
15 widget. Can you describe how that widget interacts with the
16 set-top box server?

17 A. If we go to the next slide. So when you use the Facebook
18 widget, the goal of the Facebook widget is to be able to
19 allow you to retrieve information from the Facebook server or
20 from the Facebook -- similar information that is available in
21 your Facebook account.

22 And so you generate the request, and that in turn
23 goes all the way up to a Facebook server which contains data
24 about your webpage, not the actual webpage, but it contains
25 data about the webpage such as images that you have stored,

1 text and information about friends, and so on, and it can --
2 it retrieves those JPEG images and the data, sends it back
3 and then ultimately sends it back all the way to the set-top
4 box.

5 Q. Why don't we go back to the claim, then, and talk about
6 whether this claim element is met by the FiOS TV system.
7 And, first of all, can you explain what this claim element is
8 directed to?

9 A. Well, this is the frame server element. It's the last
10 limitation of claim 5 of the '582 patent that we discussed
11 earlier.

12 Q. And can you explain -- why don't we break this into
13 sections, but can you explain generally what this claim
14 refers to?

15 A. This refers to the functionality required by the frame
16 server. So all -- the limitation is -- specifies what the
17 frame server is required to do.

18 Q. Now, looking specifically at the language of -- that
19 requires a home interface controllers each assigned to one of
20 a plurality of processes running in said frame server, can
21 you explain what that means?

22 A. Okay. So in this case it talks about having a plurality
23 of processes. So you have to have a frame server that
24 contains multiple processes, and every time a home interface
25 controller, a set-top box establishes contact, it's assigned

1 to one or the other of those processes.

2 And in particular in the case of the widget server,
3 which is what we are referring to right now, you have -- when
4 you go, let's say, to Facebook, there is a special specific
5 process that is assigned to Facebook, to the Facebook widget,
6 which is activated. And if you were to use a different
7 widget, it would assign to that other widget.

8 And in addition to that, when you first contact --
9 when you first reach the widget server, there is an internet
10 syndication service, an IAS service provided which simply
11 determines whether you are authorized to actually use the
12 widget service. And that is generated in response to each
13 and every request.

14 Q. Now, is that element of the claim met by the FiOS TV
15 system?

16 A. It is.

17 Q. And can you explain how you concluded that?

18 A. So the information I just obtained is directly obtained
19 from Mr. Kassam about the internet syndication service, and
20 the videotape deposition you saw earlier, and this is the
21 text of the deposition stating that this is with regards to
22 the internet syndication service on the widget server.

23 And if you go onto the next slide, the -- another
24 person involved in this case has actually identified in the
25 source code the actual process associated with the Facebook

1 API when you go onto the widget server, and has outlined it
2 in their report.

3 Q. Before we go on, the next portion of this limitation,
4 which says, said processes receiving data communications from
5 the subscribers associated with their respective assigned
6 home interface controllers, is that met by the FiOS system?

7 A. It is.

8 Q. Can you explain how you concluded that?

9 A. So in this particular case, if you go onto the next
10 slide, we see, for example, the Facebook widget. And in this
11 case it goes over the FiOS system and communicates with the
12 widget server by communicating from the set-top box to the
13 video hub office and in particular to the widget server
14 within the video home office in both directions.

15 Q. So that portion of the claim is met?

16 A. Yeah. And if we go onto the next slide you should be
17 able to see the arrow in the reverse direction showing the
18 communication is actually bi-directional.

19 Q. And that satisfies the language of that claim?

20 A. It does.

21 Q. The next portion of the claim said, frame server
22 generating interactive pages responsive to the data
23 communications. Is that portion of the claim met?

24 A. It is.

25 Q. Explain.

1 A. So we spoke about the Facebook, the Facebook widget, and
2 so when you go into the -- when you go, for example, into the
3 widget server and there is a request for information from
4 Facebook, as I mentioned earlier, this will route you all the
5 way down to the Facebook server. It will retrieve images
6 that you are asking for in the form of JPEG. It will
7 retrieve data that you are asking for, embed all of it in an
8 XML file and send it back all the way to the set-top box
9 where it is actually rendered and displayed on a television
10 screen.

11 And we saw earlier other examples. For example, we
12 saw the -- well, I think that is probably -- the other
13 example is in an additional server.

14 Q. So this element of the claim is met?

15 A. It is.

16 Q. And let's look at the last portion of this claim. This
17 portion of the claim also -- first of all, why don't you
18 explain what this is referring to.

19 A. This is talking about the frame server generating
20 interactive pages responsive to the data communications,
21 which we just read, and supplying the interactive pages to
22 the subscriber television associated with the assigned home
23 interface controller in digitally encoded television signals
24 over the information service distribution network.

25 So the essence of it is just a complete loop to make

1 sure that the information is not only generated but
2 ultimately supplied to the set-top box in the digital form.

3 Q. And so does this meet the FiOS TV system element?

4 A. It does.

5 Q. Explain that.

6 A. So, again, by example, if we go back to the Facebook --
7 if we go back to the Facebook widget, we see the last signal
8 in the call load providing the actual return, which is a Lua
9 table containing information in the form of text and JPEG
10 images and also potentially Lua scripts which are ultimately
11 then rendered on the set-top box and displayed on the
12 television screen, and that information is in digital form.

13 Q. And so what have you concluded about the FiOS TV system
14 as it reaches the platforms and the widget server?

15 A. And so I think that in terms of the VOD platform, and are
16 you talking about the VOD catalogs or just the VOD platform
17 itself?

18 Q. Just right now we are talking about the VOD platform and
19 the widget server.

20 A. Okay. So with regards to the VOD -- the interactive
21 controller platform, along with the widget server, that meets
22 all of the limitations of claim 5 of the '582 patent.

23 Q. You referred earlier in your testimony to other frame
24 servers. Are there any other frame server in the FiOS TV
25 system?

1 A. There are.

2 Q. And what are those?

3 A. Those are frame servers having to do with the Video On
4 Demand catalog. So those are Video On Demand functionalities
5 but not involved in trick play or in the actual streaming of
6 the movie but only involved in giving you information to make
7 a selection of the Video On Demand movie itself.

8 Q. Can you explain how the Verizon's Video On Demand catalog
9 works?

10 A. Yeah, sure. So if we go onto the next slide, we have
11 seen much of this before. So if we go to Video On Demand, we
12 have various options, and so we go into the IMG, that is the
13 interactive media guide, which communicates with the
14 interactive media guide server on the video hub office, and
15 it provides -- if we go to the next slide -- when we make
16 selections, it actually goes on.

17 In this case we just simply selected Video On
18 Demand. And we select Video On Demand, in the next slide, it
19 provides us the selection to various options, both in terms
20 of title, catalog, posters, so all of this information has to
21 be retrieved from Video On Demand catalog server or the IMG
22 server, which used to be SeaDAC server in the case of the
23 SeaChange system.

24 Q. Why don't you describe where this video, VOD catalog
25 information came from in the various time periods for the

1 FiOS TV system.

2 A. So if we go to the next slide, we see that for the
3 SeaChange system, there was a SeaDAC server as part of the
4 command service that we discussed earlier that had nothing to
5 do with the actual Video On Demand streaming. It was
6 restricted to providing this kind of data that we just saw
7 right now; titles, posters, categories, this type of
8 information.

9 And this is discussed in the documents that we saw
10 earlier in the form of providing metadata, configuration
11 file, all responsive to request from the library.

12 Q. Now, what made these pages interactive?

13 A. The pages were interactive in the sense that you could
14 click on any one of the selections and it will provide you
15 the next piece of information. If you chose a category, it
16 will give you a list of movies or posters relating to it.

17 We saw earlier in the earlier video this morning
18 where, as you recall, were in Casablanca mode, and there was
19 a button for pressing more like this, and it gave you an
20 array of movies that were unrelated -- that were related to
21 Casablanca, which the system felt that you, based on your
22 best habits, would be interested in seeing.

23 So if you were interested in Casablanca and a few
24 other movies in the past, you might be interested in Top Gun
25 or another movie like that. So all of these things are --

1 provide you interactivity and allow two-way communication
2 between the set-top box and the server in the video hub
3 office.

4 Q. Professor, you described how these VOD catalogs were
5 supplied with the SeaChange system. How is it done now?

6 A. So today the same functionality has been taken over by
7 the interactive media guide or the IMG server.

8 Q. And tell us --

9 A. I'm sorry.

10 Q. I'm sorry. Can you explain how that works?

11 A. So if you go to the next slide, you will see the type of
12 schematic we saw earlier where in this case, drawing your
13 attention to this particular Video On Demand catalog server,
14 which is listed with IPG, interactive program guide, referred
15 to earlier as IMG, which is interactive media guide.

16 Q. And how does the user interact with that page?

17 A. So if we go to the next slide, when you have a selection
18 of posters, for example, you can choose on any one of them,
19 and then it will provide you with additional information. So
20 usually provides information in the form of folders or
21 posters and different ways of representing information about
22 the movie, depending on the actual VOD service that you are
23 actually looking at.

24 Q. Is all this information just stored on the set-top box?

25 A. The pictures and the text? No, none of it.

1 Q. Where does that come from?

2 A. It all comes from the IMG server, and at times the IMG
3 server actually has to obtain it from somewhere else. If you
4 go onto the next slide, it shows, for example, up on top
5 there, the VOD posters, and if you see there is a request for
6 get VOD assets and metadata for folders sent from the set-top
7 box to the Video on Demand server, and what is returned back
8 to the set-top box are actual metadata, posters and images
9 and other such things that are all obtained through those
10 servers.

11 And you can see similar things in the next slide
12 where it provides information for a folder. So depends on
13 the server, you get folders or posters. So once again --

14 Q. This diagram, maybe you can just explain what is the IMG
15 client and the IMG server? What is that talking about?

16 A. So the IMG client is a software residing on the set-top
17 box. And the IMG client is in communication with software
18 residing on the server, which is what the IMG server is
19 referring to. So this is just a label for the software
20 residing on the set-top box on the left and on the IMG server
21 on the right.

22 Q. And this is a figure out of Plaintiff's Exhibit 19, the
23 previous exhibit?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Now, where in the overall FiOS TV system is the IMG

1 server located?

2 A. The IMG server is located right next to the widget
3 server.

4 Q. And where and what facility of FiOS TV is that?

5 A. It is all part of the video hub office, the VHO.

6 Q. Is that shown in Plaintiff's Exhibit 16?

7 A. Yeah. You can see over here on the one in blue is the
8 IMG server and the set-top box is at home. So the IMG client
9 we just saw would reside on the set-top box and would
10 communicate with the IMG server in the video hub office.

11 Q. Now, does this depict the communication path between the
12 set-top box and that server?

13 A. It does.

14 Q. And is that also shown elsewhere in the exhibit
15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 19?

16 A. Yeah. If you look at the next one, the one corresponding
17 to the poster view, you have a similar communication in the
18 next slide.

19 Q. Now, you've already talked about the widget server in
20 combination with the community platform. Can you now give me
21 your opinion about whether the FiOS TV system with its VOD
22 platform in combination with the VOD catalog server, the IMG
23 server, whether that infringes claim 5 of the '582 patent?

24 A. It does.

25 Q. Now, have you considered any other claims of the '582

1 patent in your analysis?

2 A. Yeah. I've considered claim 7 of the '582 patent as
3 well.

4 Q. Take a look at that. Can you explain what that refers
5 to?

6 A. So this refers to -- it is a dependent claim which
7 depends on claim 5 so it has to satisfy all the limitations
8 of claim 5 in which refers to the backup cable system of
9 claim 5 wherein said information service distribution network
10 comprises a plurality of cables each serving a different
11 service area and wherein the interactive cable system further
12 comprises a switch for directing each television signal from
13 one of said plurality of individually assignable processors
14 to the cable serving the service area in which the respective
15 assigned home interface controller is served.

16 Q. And is this claim met by the FiOS TV system?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. Can you explain that?

19 A. So if we go back to the FiOS network, and we see that
20 each of the video hub offices is connected to multiple video
21 serving offices, and the video serving offices provide
22 signals to a smaller group of users in the homes nearby, and
23 there is a router that routes information in a switch, as
24 well, that sends the signals all the way from the controller
25 in the video hub office to the correct video serving office

1 depending on the user. And that is true for both the
2 SeaChange as well as the NextGen Video On Demand system.

3 Q. And so is this claim infringement of FiOS TV system?

4 A. It is.

5 Q. And have you considered any other claims of the patent
6 are infringed by FiOS TV?

7 A. Yeah. The next and final claim in the '582 patent is
8 claim 8.

9 Q. Can you explain this claim?

10 A. So this again depends now on claim 7 which in turn
11 depended on claim 5. And so this calls for the interactive
12 cable system of claim 7 further comprising a common channel
13 transmitted throughout said information service distribution
14 network for carrying the digitally encoded television signals
15 from said frame server.

16 Q. And is this met by the FiOS TV system?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. Please explain.

19 A. So if we go to the definition of the Court of what a
20 common channel is, the common channel is a single digital
21 channel for carrying television signals from a frame server
22 to subscribers. And so if you go to the next slide, we
23 recalled the video hub office is digital signal capability in
24 both downstream and upstream, and so the downstream component
25 would go over the super trunk or the edge modulation to the

1 video service office, and from there it would go over one of
2 those labelling all the way down to the user's home.

3 Q. So have you reached a conclusion about whether this claim
4 is infringed by the FiOS TV system?

5 A. I have.

6 Q. And what is your conclusion?

7 A. It is infringed.

8 Q. Just one last question, Professor, and that is what is
9 the various services are used in the FiOS TV system that made
10 use of, for example, the VOD platform?

11 A. So the VOD platform would be used for doing Video On
12 Demand, transactional Video On Demand, advertising. There
13 are a whole array of different applications that rely on the
14 Video On Demand platform.

15 MR. LYONS: Thank you, Professor. Nothing further.

16 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is probably a
17 good time to take a break here. So we are going to be in
18 recess for approximately 15 minutes. All rise.

19 (Jury out at 3:51 p.m.)

20 THE COURT: Court is in recess for 15 minutes.

21 (Recess from 3:52 p.m. to 4:10 p.m.)

22 THE COURT: Be seated. Gentlemen, the Court's going
23 to probably end about 5:20. I usually try to run to 5:30,
24 but I'm going to have to end at about 5:20, just for your
25 planning purposes.

1 All right. Oh, one other thing, just in case I
2 forget this, since we are moving pretty quickly. When the
3 Court indicated Mr. Stillman, that he could be absent because
4 of his involvement in the other case, that case ended, so the
5 Court fully expected local counsel would be here throughout
6 the proceedings. So I don't know what is happening here, but
7 the Court has not authorized you to literally substitute
8 local counsel.

9 MR. VanNORMAN: Your Honor, I'm Brent VanNorman.
10 I'm on the pleadings. I'm local counsel at Hunton and
11 Williams here in Norfolk.

12 THE COURT: I know that, but who else is local? Is
13 Mr. Stillman local counsel, too?

14 MR. VanNORMAN: Yes.

15 THE COURT: The Court's practice is, unless you ask
16 to be excused, then you are here. Otherwise, we have which
17 counsel here? Mr. Noona, everybody in his firm want to be
18 rotating in and out of here. So if you are going to do that,
19 that needs to be cleared. That has not been cleared.

20 MR. VanNORMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay. So you gentlemen talk it over
22 tonight who is going to be here in terms of being local
23 counsel in the case. I noticed you are here this morning and
24 he's gone. We told the jury he's in the case, and then he is
25 not in the case. That is not usually the way the Court

1 operates. He's in the case, he is in here.

2 MR. GUTMAN: We will straighten it out, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: All right. Okay. Bring in the jury.

4 (Jury in at 4:11 p.m.)

5 THE COURT: You may be seated. Let the record
6 reflect that all jurors are present in the courtroom. Does
7 counsel agree?

8 MR. GUTMAN: Yes.

9 MR. LYONS: Yes, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.

11 MR. GUTMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. GUTMAN:

14 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Schonfeld.

15 A. Good afternoon.

16 Q. Now, prior to your testimony here you prepared, in
17 accordance with the procedural rules, reports concerning your
18 testimony, correct?

19 A. That's correct, yeah.

20 Q. And there were three of them in all?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Okay. And do you have those up there?

23 A. I have just one.

24 Q. Just one. Let's, if I may, Your Honor, I'd like to give
25 the witness all three.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 BY MR. GUTMAN:

3 Q. Are you ready?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Q. Now, you were here in the courtroom, weren't you,
6 Dr. Schonfeld, when Mr. Hoarty testified?

7 A. Only a portion of the time, not all of it.

8 Q. No. Let's see what you heard. Were you here when he
9 testified that he didn't invent Video On Demand?

10 A. I believe so, yeah.

11 Q. Were you here when he testified that he didn't invent
12 interactive television?

13 A. I was here. I'm not -- I think he's testified that he
14 invented an efficient way of doing interactive television.

15 Q. But he didn't invent interactive television, right?

16 A. I think in response to that question he didn't say yes or
17 no. He just said he invented an efficient way of doing it.

18 Q. You don't remember him saying that he didn't invent
19 interactive television?

20 A. He may have. I don't remember.

21 Q. And he also said he didn't invent headends, right?

22 A. I believe so. I don't remember the specifics. I just
23 remembered he said he didn't invent a list of things.

24 Q. Right. And he didn't invent set-top boxes, right?

25 A. I believe that's what he said.

1 Q. And he didn't reinvent remote controls?

2 A. Again, it would make sense but I don't remember the
3 specific list that you gave him.

4 Q. He didn't invent touch pads?

5 A. Yeah, I believe that was one of them.

6 Q. He didn't invent having a processor in the headend that
7 would receive a request to provide information down to a
8 subscriber based on the subscriber request, correct?

9 A. I don't remember him saying something like that.

10 Q. Well, look at the transcript, sir.

11 A. I believe you. I just don't remember.

12 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent delivering
13 content that's uniquely identified for a particular
14 subscriber set-top box?

15 A. Again, I don't remember that line of questioning. I may
16 have been here, and if I was here, I don't remember the
17 specifics. I remember the earlier ones where you asked him
18 if he invented the set-top box and he said no, or Video On
19 Demand and he said no. But I don't remember the longer ones.

20 Q. Do you want to see the transcript or will you accept my
21 representation, sir?

22 A. I believe you.

23 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent digital
24 encoding of data?

25 A. I think that was on the list that I heard.

1 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent
2 addressable packets?

3 A. Yeah, I don't remember it but I'm sure he would say that.

4 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent
5 transmission of data using addressable packets in cable
6 television networks?

7 A. I don't remember it, but if you say he did, then I
8 believe you.

9 Q. Did you hear him say that he didn't invent transmission
10 of full loaded video using addressable packets in the cable
11 television network?

12 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
13 foundation. The witness has said --

14 THE COURT: If he doesn't remember, then what you're
15 going to have to do is show him the transcript of the
16 testimony to refresh his recollection or something. He's
17 saying he doesn't remember.

18 MR. GUTMAN: I'm just asking if he was here and
19 remembers him saying that.

20 THE COURT: If you don't remember it, you don't
21 recall it, just say you don't recall it, and we move along.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I don't recall the specific list.

23 BY MR. GUTMAN:

24 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent
25 interactive gaming?

1 A. I think that was part of the list that I remember but
2 very vaguely, I'm just speculating.

3 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent fiberoptic
4 transport networks?

5 A. I think -- again, I have a vague memory of the list that
6 you asked him, but I think this was on it, but I'm not a
7 hundred percent certain.

8 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent the use of
9 fiberoptic cables?

10 A. It sounds familiar to me but, again, I don't remember the
11 exact list.

12 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent optical
13 multiplex?

14 A. I'm pretty certain I remember that one, yeah.

15 Q. Did you hear him testify that he didn't invent Pay Per
16 View?

17 A. I think that was on the list but, again, I don't remember
18 the specifics.

19 Q. Okay. Now, there are ways, if Mr. Hoarty didn't invent
20 Video On Demand, and that is one you do remember, right?

21 A. I believe so, yeah.

22 Q. If he didn't invent Video On Demand, then there are other
23 ways to deliver Video On Demand that don't infringe; isn't
24 that right?

25 A. I'm not --

1 THE COURT: If you are going to answer, keep your
2 voice up clearly so I can understand what you're saying.

3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I just -- I'm not sure whether
4 Video On Demand was on the list, and secondly, I just -- I
5 would have to know the context in which it was invented to
6 answer that question.

7 BY MR. GUTMAN:

8 Q. So you don't know, is that your testimony, whether there
9 are other ways to do Video On Demand that wouldn't infringe
10 the patent?

11 A. Oh, I think I testified myself that you can actually
12 store, for example, video on your own server at home. You
13 can do it by yourself. There are other ways of doing it.
14 But I know if you're asking me about my own statement, not
15 Mr. Hoarty's statement, yeah, there are other ways of doing
16 Video On Demand.

17 Q. And there are other ways to do interactive television
18 that doesn't infringe these patents, right?

19 A. You could do interactive television in a way that would
20 not infringe his patents, may not work as well, but you could
21 do it in alternative ways as long as you do not meet one of
22 the limitations.

23 Q. Right. Because you understand, as an expert witness in
24 the patent case, that for there to be infringement, you have
25 to show that each and every element of a claim is met by

1 these products, right?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. You don't show infringement by showing four out of five
4 or eight out of nine, right? Every one?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Okay. And for those dependent claims that you looked at,
7 you have to show infringement of every element of the
8 independent claim and then every additional element of the
9 dependent claim for there to be infringement, right?

10 A. As well as other dependent claims in cases it is more
11 than one independent claim.

12 Q. Correct. Correct. Now, in your description of the FiOS
13 system, you talked about all sorts of equipment provided by
14 people other than Verizon. Do you recall that testimony?

15 A. That's correct, yeah.

16 Q. Cisco, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Ericsson, right?

19 A. That's right.

20 Q. Tanburg?

21 A. It's the same as Ericsson, but that's right.

22 Q. SeaChange?

23 A. SeaChange, that's correct.

24 Q. Motorola?

25 A. For the -- not for the FiOS system, for the set-top box.

1 Q. For the set-top box. Well, is that not part of the
2 system?

3 A. Well, that is part of the overall system, yeah. But I
4 thought you were asking about the FiOS network in particular.

5 Q. Now, which of those other companies infringe these
6 patents?

7 MR. LYONS: Objection, foundation.

8 THE COURT: Objection sustained. That is not -- you
9 are not here on the charge if they infringe. It is Verizon
10 in the branch.

11 BY MR. GUTMAN:

12 Q. Well, these other companies provided pieces of the
13 system, right?

14 A. When you build a cable television system, many companies
15 contribute various pieces of the system, and these are the
16 companies that did provide.

17 Q. Do you have an opinion, sir, as to whether any of these
18 other companies infringe, as well?

19 THE COURT: Objection sustained. I sustained that
20 now, Mr. Gutman. That is not what we are here on. You know
21 it. He knows it. Go back to whether or not Verizon infringe
22 on the FiOS product, not every piece of the product when you
23 dissect the product.

24 BY MR. GUTMAN:

25 Q. You testified about something called fire and forget?

1 A. I mentioned it in my earlier tutorial description of the
2 general technology, yeah.

3 Q. Does fire and forget infringe or would fire and forget
4 infringe?

5 A. Well, fire and forget is just a technology to determine
6 infringement. I have to do the same kind of analysis I did
7 here, which is to understand the entire system.

8 THE COURT: That is what the Court just ruled on
9 again. I don't know what about the Court's ruling is not
10 clear.

11 MR. GUTMAN: Your Honor --

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Perhaps hundreds of
13 people had something they built in this system. We are not
14 going to ask whether everybody that contributed anything to
15 the ultimate product infringed. So that line of questioning
16 is ended.

17 MR. GUTMAN: This was a different line, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Well, if it is like the last one, that
19 is sustained, too.

20 MR. GUTMAN: This was a description of the prior
21 art. I just wanted to ask him whether that -- whether in his
22 view -- this was in his testimony he said that fire and
23 forget was something that was done before.

24 THE COURT: Once again, we are not on whether fire
25 and forget or not infringed. We are on whether or not

1 Verizon FiOS system infringed and what's before the Court and
2 the jury infringed.

3 BY MR. GUTMAN:

4 Q. Now, earlier you testified, Dr. Schonfeld, that there
5 were four problems that the Hoarty patents addressed; is that
6 right?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Set-top box limitations, two-way communications
9 challenges, bandwidth limitations, and interactive processing
10 demands; is that correct?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. And you're not suggesting that Mr. Hoarty came up with
13 the only solutions for those problems, are you?

14 A. No, not necessarily.

15 Q. And those problems haven't been solved by what Mr. Hoarty
16 did, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. They continue to be issues in the industry?

19 A. Well, the solution that Mr. Hoarty provided alleviated
20 those problems significantly, but whenever you are dealing
21 with a scale of problems of Video On Demand from a very large
22 number of customers, there are always new issues as you get
23 more and more requests. So there are always new
24 opportunities for addressing problems. But he alleviated in
25 the way that was significant.

1 Q. Okay. But everyone was concerned with bandwidth
2 limitations, not just Mr. Hoarty, right?

3 MR. LYONS: Objection, foundation, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Sustained.

5 BY MR. GUTMAN:

6 Q. Bandwidth limitations are a general concern in the cable
7 industry, aren't they?

8 A. I'm not sure.

9 MR. LYONS: Objection, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Well, you know, if he knows. He's in
11 the area of technology. If he knows.

12 THE WITNESS: Well, bandwidth is generally an issue
13 in every technology involving communication, but to answer
14 specifically, I would have to know the situation that you are
15 describing.

16 BY MR. GUTMAN:

17 Q. Sure. And processing power is a concern, as well; isn't
18 that right?

19 A. Processing power is a general concern in technology in
20 every technology.

21 Q. And storage capacity?

22 A. It depends again on the application. I would have to --
23 if you just discuss it in general, if you have, for example,
24 an application where there is very little data required, and
25 you have the very large server, then storage capacity may not

1 be an issue. So it depends -- to answer this question, I
2 really have to know the specific application.

3 Q. But it can be an issue, correct?

4 A. It definitely can be an issue.

5 Q. And these are issues not just for cable television or
6 video, but for computer networks generally, right?

7 A. They could be, depending on the situation. Again, in
8 different applications sometimes storage, sometimes
9 bandwidth, and sometimes processing power can all be an
10 issue.

11 Q. Right. And basic advances in technology have helped
12 alleviate some of these problems; isn't that right?

13 A. Well, if -- in a sense, yes and no. And the reason I say
14 that is because as technology advance, it alleviate some of
15 the problems, but our consumption has also changed in the
16 process. Whereas we used to be very happy with, let's say,
17 30 channels and no interactive TV, now we want 500 channels
18 and hundreds of interactive channel for each user. So it
19 exacerbates the limitations even as the technology advances.

20 Q. But in the 15 years since -- between when Mr. Hoarty did
21 his work and when Verizon brought out FiOS, the state of the
22 art technology in each of these areas moved quite a bit,
23 didn't it?

24 A. The state of the art is moving pretty rapidly, yeah.

25 Q. Right. Now, the simple set-top boxes in the 1990s that

1 Mr. Hoarty was writing about didn't do much more than let you
2 pick a channel, did they?

3 A. The ones prior to his invention or --

4 Q. Right. The ones he was talking about in the background
5 of the invention?

6 A. So the kind of set-top box you have back then when you
7 didn't have interactive TV, they were purely analogs. So
8 they didn't have digital ability. And they allow you to
9 tune, to scramble and a few other functionalities, filter a
10 few other things like that.

11 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at your slide 44, if we could.
12 I think maybe slide 53 now. And this was in your slides as
13 an example of the simple set-top box, right?

14 A. This was an example of the simple set-top box, yes.

15 Q. Okay. Now, you've studied the FiOS system, correct?

16 A. Yes, I have.

17 Q. And you know the different types of boxes that FiOS uses?

18 A. I'm familiar with some of them but not all of them.

19 Q. Okay. And some of them are a lot more sophisticated than
20 this, aren't they?

21 A. Today because we have migrated over time, and almost
22 everybody uses digital set-top boxes. So almost all digital
23 set-top boxes, whether they use interactive television or
24 not, whether they are FiOS boxes or not, all will be more
25 complex than this.

1 Q. Okay. But it's not just the fact that it is digital,
2 there is a lot more functionality and memory and processor
3 speed, right?

4 A. In FiOS?

5 Q. In the set-top box.

6 A. In which ones?

7 Q. Well, let's take a look. The Cisco CHS 435 HDC. Also
8 referred to as the class B dual tuner HD-DVR, right?

9 A. I mean, the specifics of the boxes are used by FiOS, is
10 what you are referring to?

11 Q. Yeah. I'm sorry. I'm asking about this particular box.
12 You are familiar with that box, right?

13 A. Yeah, I may be. I don't remember the acronym offhand,
14 but I think so. But I don't know offhand.

15 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let's get something
16 straight here, Professor. You frequently say I think so.
17 You can't speculate. We can't allow any speculation. So I
18 don't allow I guess so, I think so.

19 THE WITNESS: I would be -- if I can see the actual
20 schematic of the box, that would help.

21 BY MR. GUTMAN:

22 Q. Let's look at PX 944. This was your own slide that we
23 just went over earlier today, right?

24 A. Yeah, that's correct.

25 MR. GUTMAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Are these being

1 shown to the jury?

2 THE COURT: Yeah. PX 944. I don't see anything up
3 on the jury screen right now.

4 THE CLERK: It is. It has been admitted.

5 THE COURT: It has been admitted? Where is this PC
6 944?

7 THE CLERK: It has been admitted. They can show it.

8 THE COURT: We have it up on the screen?

9 THE CLERK: Yes, sir.

10 MR. GUTMAN: This was one they were shown earlier
11 today, Your Honor.

12 BY MR. GUTMAN:

13 Q. This is one you spoke about earlier today, right?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Okay. And this is the class B dual tuner HD-DVR, CHS 435
16 HDC, which is a Cisco set-top box on the FiOS system; isn't
17 that right?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Okay. Now, you also have a schematic of this, don't you?

20 A. I think that -- well, the schematic I showed, if I'm not
21 mistaken, it is Motorola schematic, from Motorola set-top
22 box, not from the Cisco set-top box.

23 Q. Let's see the schematic for this one. This is another
24 one of the slides you showed us today?

25 A. Right. It is one of the same Cisco set-top box when I

1 showed the actual Cisco set-top box corresponding to it.

2 THE COURT: Can you keep your voice up.

3 BY MR. GUTMAN:

4 Q. Well, it has the same PX number, sir. Do you have any
5 doubt that this is the same set-top box as the one that had
6 the picture?

7 A. No. I was just wondering whether it says Cisco or
8 Motorola set-top box, but I have no doubt.

9 Q. Now, this box had a lot more in it than the first one we
10 looked at; isn't that right?

11 A. It does, yeah.

12 Q. It's got multiple processors in here, doesn't it?

13 A. Yes, it does.

14 Q. It has MB gram memories; isn't that right?

15 A. It does. It's a -- I believe -- looking at it, it looks
16 like the modern standard for new set-top boxes which are
17 digital set-top boxes which are used throughout the industry.

18 Q. Right. It has 265 megs a gram, right?

19 A. Yeah, I -- I don't know offhand. I don't remember
20 offhand the amount of memory.

21 Q. It also has a 320 gigabyte hard drive in this set-top
22 box; isn't that right, sir?

23 A. This is for DVR, so it does have a hard drive that I
24 don't recall the actual size of it.

25 Q. And you studied the different set-top boxes that FiOS

1 uses, haven't you?

2 A. Yeah, some of them.

3 Q. Not this one? This is the one that you featured in your
4 presentation. Are you saying that you didn't study this one?

5 A. This one I did study.

6 Q. Okay. Then you know, sir, that when it was actually
7 released, it had a 500 gigabyte hard drive; isn't that right?

8 A. I don't recall that information.

9 Q. And it says here it's got enhanced graphics and dual
10 tuners; is that right?

11 A. It doesn't -- if I can just explain, this is what a
12 modern set-top box looks like. All digital set-top box
13 today, whether they use interactive television or not, all
14 have a processor, and usually a microprocessor just like
15 this. In this case, it is a specially designed one. As a
16 matter of fact, there are processors of this type everywhere.
17 97 percent of the world's computers are embedded processors.
18 They reside in toasters, refrigerators, as well as set-top
19 box.

20 And in this particular case, you would use such a
21 device, whether you use it for interactive television or not,
22 it is not the kind of facility that where -- it is not the
23 kind of processor when we are talking about an interactive
24 controller which can store 15,000 movies and allow the kind
25 of interaction we are talking about in the servers. It is a

1 different order of magnitude.

2 Q. Dr. Schonfeld, please try to answer the question more
3 directly if you can. Back in the 1990s, when Mr. Hoarty was
4 working, IBM mainframe computers didn't have this much
5 memory, did they?

6 A. Yeah, the scale of computing has changed dramatically
7 both in the set-top box in the server in both cases.

8 Q. And IBM mainframe computers that would fill a room didn't
9 have the same kind of processing speed; isn't that right?

10 A. As I said, this would be true both for the set-top box as
11 well as the server. Yeah.

12 Q. So you'd agree with me that the advances of technology
13 helped address some of these issues, right?

14 A. Yeah. Like I said earlier, which is it can exacerbate
15 the problem because now these set-top box, multiple of them
16 are demanding more and more request for more and more
17 information that it can handle, which puts a tremendous
18 burden on the processing side of the server side processing.
19 And so it -- instead of resolving the problem, it can
20 actually exacerbate it.

21 Q. Because we expect more from our machines; is that your
22 point?

23 A. From the processors in the headend side, that's right.

24 Q. Now, let's talk about bandwidth for a minute. When
25 Mr. Hoarty was working -- was doing his work, nobody had done

1 fiber to the home, right?

2 A. People have done it in research environment but not in
3 the commercial setting over cable television. But it was
4 something people were aware of.

5 Q. Nobody had done it in the real world until Verizon; isn't
6 that right?

7 A. That may not be accurate. There were projects for doing
8 fiber to the home available not necessarily over cable
9 television systems but over other type of systems that were
10 deployed.

11 Q. But nobody had done it for cable television on a major
12 commercial basis until FiOS; isn't that right, sir?

13 A. To the best of my knowledge, that would be accurate, but
14 I don't have definite proof of it.

15 Q. And to the extent that fiber was used, it was in hybrid
16 fiber coaxial systems, what you referred to in your testimony
17 as HFC networks; isn't that right?

18 A. Yeah, they come in use of fiber in cable television
19 systems that are actually deployed in commercial systems was
20 in HFC, that's correct.

21 Q. And even in those HFC systems, the bandwidth available in
22 a cable network was restricted to between 300 to 450
23 megahertz or 750 megahertz; isn't that right?

24 A. That sounds right.

25 Q. And that included HFC networks, right?

1 A. That was the bandwidth limitation over the coaxial cable
2 portion.

3 Q. Correct.

4 A. Which is still valid today in the home but it's not true
5 over the fiber portion.

6 Q. But you don't have the same kind of demand within one
7 home, do you?

8 A. I would -- it depends on the deployment, how many -- it
9 would depend on how many set-top box in homes are connected
10 to a single optical connection. And so, again, you'll have
11 to service a specific environment. But in all likelihood,
12 you are right.

13 Q. Now, just using fiber to the home substantially helps
14 address the bandwidth problem; isn't that right?

15 A. It increases the bandwidth problem. It increases the
16 bandwidth available to the home. It provides many more
17 services that could not have been available otherwise.

18 Q. Right. And the fiberoptic cable, as used by Verizon, has
19 three different wavelengths of light, three different colors
20 that are used, right?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. The wavelength of light is what distinguishes colors when
23 you see them; isn't that right?

24 A. If they are in the visible range.

25 Q. Within the visible range?

1 A. Yeah.

2 Q. And one of those wavelengths, one of those colors of
3 light alone has the capacity of a coaxial cable; isn't that
4 right?

5 A. That would be -- it would have more than the coaxial
6 cable capacity.

7 Q. Okay. More than?

8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. And notwithstanding that, people are still looking to
10 find better ways to address bandwidth issues, right?

11 A. People will always push the technology further, it
12 doesn't stop, whether it's bandwidth, processing power, just
13 like your PC at home becoming laptop and the machines get
14 smaller and more powerful, and they keep on crashing because
15 they put more software on them.

16 So it is the same thing over here, you can resolve
17 the problem but it doesn't solve so it makes it even worse
18 sometimes.

19 Q. Now, let's talk about two-way communication, one of the
20 other issues, for a moment. You heard Mr. Hoarty claim that
21 his patents were radically different from systems that used a
22 telephone line for two-way communications, didn't you?

23 A. I don't remember him saying that. I may not have been
24 here. As I say, I was only here for a part of his testimony.

25 Q. Well, then let's talk about what you say. You, yourself,

1 testified on Friday that while prior approaches used a
2 telephone return, Mr. Hoarty's contribution was that he,
3 "Insisted that all communications be done over a cable
4 system"; is that right?

5 A. That is correct in the context of the first three
6 patents, the interactive TV patents. It would not be the
7 case for the frame server patent. So that particular
8 discussion was in the context of the first three patents.

9 Q. So for the first three.

10 Now, you were here when Mr. Brown testified, weren't
11 you?

12 A. Again, only for -- I'm sorry, only for a portion of his
13 testimony.

14 Q. Did you hear him testify about the trial that ActiveVideo
15 did in Santa Barbara in 1996?

16 A. I was here for at least for a part of it, yeah.

17 Q. Right. And he testified that ActiveVideo system, five
18 years after Mr. Hoarty's work, used a telephone line for the
19 two-way return service in that Santa Barbara test, didn't
20 they?

21 A. I heard them say something to that effect, yeah.

22 Q. That was many years after Mr. Hoarty's work in his
23 patent?

24 A. I'm not sure what they were deploying was related to
25 Mr. Hoarty's patents or not. I just -- I don't remember the

1 discussion leading up to it about what the system they were
2 deploying was and what its function was. I don't know
3 whether ActiveVideo has more than one product or only one
4 product.

5 Q. So you don't recall him testifying that the system used
6 Mr. Hoarty's patents?

7 A. Yeah, I don't remember him saying that, no. He may have
8 said it.

9 Q. Now, in this case, sir, you are wearing two separate
10 hats, aren't you? You are both the infringement expert this
11 week testifying to your opinions about whether or not Verizon
12 infringes, and then next week you're the validity expert
13 testifying as to the validity of the patents, right?

14 THE COURT: I think that might be a little riskier
15 until he does that.

16 MR. GUTMAN: If he doesn't, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: So I think you hold off on what he is
18 going to do next week about his testimony.

19 BY MR. GUTMAN:

20 Q. Well, let me ask it more generally. To show
21 infringement, the expert trying to show infringement
22 testifies about the similarities between the claims of the
23 patents and the -- and in this case the FiOS system, right?
24 That is what you've been doing for the last two days?

25 A. I was establishing the fact that Verizon practiced each

1 and every one of the limitations of the asserted claims that
2 I discussed both today and Friday.

3 Q. And if you come back next week, you would be testifying
4 about differences between --

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 BY MR. GUTMAN:

7 Q. You've prepared and given to us an expert report
8 concerning your opinions on not -- on validity; isn't that
9 right, sir?

10 THE COURT: What I'm trying to say is -- what I'm
11 ruling on, Mr. Gutman, is this. So far his testimony has
12 always been on infringement. And so within the scope of
13 direct, you cross-examined him on that. You will get an
14 opportunity, should he return as a witness, on validity to
15 challenge that.

16 MR. GUTMAN: What I would like to show, Your Honor,
17 is the inconsistencies between the positions he takes
18 depending on which side of this he is on.

19 THE COURT: Well, you will do that next week.

20 MR. GUTMAN: We've gotten prior written statements,
21 Your Honor, that I'd like to be able to impeach him with.

22 THE COURT: Well, come on the side. You all stand
23 up for a second.

24 (Side-bar conference.)

25 THE COURT: I understand exactly what you're going

1 to do. But this gets potentially confusing, very confusing
2 for the jury when you get into trying to drag in what he's
3 coming up with in the validity testimony versus what he's
4 trying to say here, when he hasn't even put that testimony
5 on. As far as you know, he might recant on that testimony.
6 And so that's the problem having you getting into what he
7 said over here.

8 Now, that's the problem I'm trying to contain. This
9 gets real messy.

10 MR. GUTMAN: And it's simply a matter of, Your
11 Honor, of him taking specific terms in the patent and, for
12 purposes of infringement, saying one thing, and for purposes
13 of invalidity saying they mean something very different, and
14 I think I need to be able to show that because in his
15 report --

16 THE COURT: How many times has he done this?

17 MR. GUTMAN: Probably half a dozen. Not a whole
18 retrying -- it is not a separate trial in the case. I just
19 want to keep him -- run across him on the inconsistent
20 statements.

21 THE COURT: Maybe what you need to do is to try to
22 put a specific question. It is all on how you ask the
23 question, whether you've ever defined this term in a
24 different manner.

25 MR. GUTMAN: Got you.

1 THE COURT: And if he says yes, or you could just
2 ask him, have you ever defined this term as A, B, C and D,
3 and don't say it is for validity or your claims because we
4 don't know what is going to happen.

5 MR. GUTMAN: What I was trying to do, the next
6 question in this line is going to be, are you taking the same
7 position for both purposes.

8 THE COURT: No. And that is why I do not want you
9 to get that. Do not go there. Just ask him if he is
10 defining to such and such.

11 MR. GUTMAN: Okay.

12 (End of side-bar conference.)

13 BY MR. GUTMAN:

14 Q. Could we see patent '678, claim 1 of it. If you can
15 highlight the reference to a home interface controller.

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. You found it?

18 A. Yeah.

19 Q. You can find it in the patent.

20 THE COURT: Pull the microphone in front of you.

21 BY MR. GUTMAN:

22 Q. Dr. Schonfeld, this term, home interface controller,
23 shows up in, I think, every claim that you reviewed; isn't
24 that right?

25 A. I'm pretty sure it does.

1 Q. But I don't recall you testifying, in response to
2 Mr. Lyons' questions, concerning what you consider to be the
3 home interface controller in the FiOS system. The question
4 is, did you already answer the question as to what the home
5 interface controller is?

6 A. I did.

7 Q. Okay. Is it the set-top box? Is that your testimony?

8 A. In my presentation I identified the set-top box as the
9 home interface controller.

10 Q. So the set-top box standing alone is the home interface
11 controller?

12 A. The set-top box is the home interface controller when it
13 sends it on to the home interface controller.

14 Q. Okay. Now, you are aware, aren't you, sir, that in the
15 FiOS system, in addition to set-top boxes in the house, one
16 for each television, there is something called a broadband
17 home router or BHR?

18 A. That's right.

19 Q. And the BHR is between the set-top boxes and the network;
20 isn't that right?

21 A. No. The BHR is a router over the -- that connects -- is
22 through the remote connector, the coaxial cable going to an
23 optical end switch that converts light to electricity and
24 electricity back to light. And the BHR is a router that
25 routes signals that comes through the fiber, get converted to

1 electricity and gets routed to the right set-top box at home.

2 Q. Let me see if I can simplify that. The signal comes in
3 from the cable system to the house to the BHR; is that right?

4 A. The signal comes in from the cable system to what is
5 called the OMT, gets converted to electricity, comes through
6 the BHR to the set-top box.

7 Q. Okay. To the BHR and then to the set-top boxes, right?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Okay. And I believe you've stated it's your opinion,
10 sir, that the home interface controller has to be one device,
11 right, not a combination of devices?

12 A. What I said -- you mean during trial? Are you asking me?

13 Q. You stated this opinion?

14 A. At trial?

15 Q. Prior to testimony, sir, you have stated this opinion?

16 A. So what --

17 Q. Is that true?

18 A. I've stated that it can be a multiple device if they work
19 in connection together, in direct communication, then it can
20 be two devices. But if it's completely unrelated device that
21 are not in communication with each other, like if one is at
22 home and the other one is outside the home, then they should
23 not be -- they should not be viewed as a single device.

24 Q. Didn't you express the opinion in one of the reports that
25 you've submitted in this case that it has to be one set-top,

1 one device?

2 A. Just to put it all in context, I said it has to be two
3 devices working in concert, and it has to be a device -- I
4 believe I clarified it in previous engagements we had.

5 Q. Why don't you look at your rebuttal report at Page 81.
6 Let's highlight the sentence. Didn't you write in that --

7 A. Hold on a second.

8 THE COURT: Let him find it first, and then I think
9 once he reads it, the question is does that refresh his
10 recollection. He says yes, ask him, if not, then you go back
11 through it again.

12 BY MR. GUTMAN:

13 Q. It's the one sentence that is highlighted, sir. If you
14 look at the screen, you see the one sentence that is
15 highlighted on the page. That may be easier than finding it
16 in the book.

17 THE COURT: Since that is refreshing recollection,
18 the jury doesn't see that. We cut the video off while he
19 reads that.

20 THE WITNESS: I remember the sentence, yeah.

21 BY MR. GUTMAN:

22 Q. Okay. So you recall that you wrote in the report, "The
23 asserted claims required the home interface controller to be
24 one device, not a combination of devices"?

25 A. And indeed it says over here that is in relation to a

1 very specific system called a Telaction system, and that
2 particular section, as I recall, the two devices that were
3 combined, one was a device on the pole in the street and the
4 other one was at home. And so there are two devices had no
5 connection to each other, no communication with each other,
6 and I clarified that particular statement with respect to the
7 context of the Telaction system in my position.

8 Q. Let's look at Page 28 of the rebuttal report. Could you
9 highlight the language for the doctor.

10 THE COURT: Page 20, is that continued on the same
11 question?

12 MR. GUTMAN: It's the same statement with respect to
13 a different system.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. LYONS: I just object that this is beyond the
16 scope of what we discussed at the side bar and is getting
17 into specific --

18 THE COURT: Well, I overrule it for the time being
19 because I believe he is trying to refresh his recollection on
20 the specific question, and so far I don't think it really
21 runs afoul of probably what the Court had in mind here. We
22 will let it go, let this question go.

23 BY MR. GUTMAN:

24 Q. You took a similar position with respect to the Graves
25 prior art reference, right?

1 A. Not quite. In the case of Graves, I was simply reacting
2 to Verizon's view where they said this device and this device
3 constitutes the home interface controller. And what I was
4 saying is if you take one of the devices that is close to the
5 TV, it could be a home interface controller. If you take
6 both devices, that could be a home interface controller. But
7 if you take only the other device that is far away and does
8 not connect to the TV, that should not be viewed as a home
9 interface controller, and I think I've clarified that comment
10 in the past.

11 Q. Their devices were all in the same building, weren't
12 they?

13 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, objection. We are getting
14 into the issues.

15 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection
16 because I think you are trying to pull the contract of
17 inconsistency, and we may have a tendency to get off a lot
18 further than I think we want to go.

19 BY MR. GUTMAN:

20 Q. Now, I believe you testified, sir, that the SeaChange
21 Mediacluster server and/or its edge card is an individually
22 assignable processor capable of being assigned on a
23 one-to-one basis to the set-top box?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Now, again, in your rebuttal report of Page 77 --

1 THE COURT: The Court's direction was, have you ever
2 testified.

3 BY MR. GUTMAN:

4 Q. Have you ever testified that a channel server servicing
5 multiple presentation players was not a processor capable of
6 being assigned on a one-to-one basis to an interface
7 controller?

8 THE COURT: Is that the same question? Wait a
9 minute. I'm just saying if we ask for his inconsistency, if
10 that's the same question technologically that you are asking
11 him?

12 MR. GUTMAN: This is about individually assigned
13 processors.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Fine.

15 BY MR. GUTMAN:

16 Q. And the question is, have you stated the opinion that a
17 channel server that services multiple presentation players
18 cannot be an individually assignable processor because it is
19 not, as the Court construed, a processor that is capable of
20 being assigned on a one-to-one basis to a home interface
21 controller?

22 A. Again, we are talking about -- I think it is the
23 Telaction system, if I'm not mistaken, and to put it in
24 context over there, you have a system where there is a
25 processor, and then once one person locks on to that

1 processor, it broadcasts to everybody, and nobody else can
2 use it for their own interaction request. And so I was
3 talking about this in the very specific -- in a very specific
4 setting, which is unrelated to the Mediacluster server.

5 Q. But that is your opinion, right? If it serves multiple
6 users, it's not assignable, it's not an assignable processor,
7 right?

8 A. Well, when it serves multiple users in the way on that
9 particular system, the Telaction system, that would not be
10 assignable on a one-to-one basis because it just broadcasts
11 based on the first request.

12 Q. And the Mediacluster server and edge card also serve
13 multiple set-top boxes; isn't that right?

14 A. They are assigned to multiple set-top boxes but the
15 assignment itself is on a one-to-one basis.

16 Q. But they are at all times, unless there is only one
17 person using the system, they are at all times available and
18 usable by multiple set-top boxes; isn't that right, sir?

19 A. That's not accurate. The -- first of all, indeed, when
20 they are not used by anybody, they would be assigned and used
21 by one set-top box. But the construction from the Court, is
22 it capable of being assigned on a one-to-one basis. And the
23 assignment can be done on a one-to-one basis for each request
24 coming in. It is nearly impossible for two requests to take
25 place simultaneous. Actually, it is impossible.

1 Q. So you're testifying that the Mediacluster can't be used
2 by more than one -- more than one user at once, is that what
3 you are testifying?

4 A. No, it can't be used by more than one.

5 Q. Of course, it can. It can be used by dozens of people,
6 can't it?

7 A. All I was saying --

8 Q. Hundreds?

9 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Mr. Gutman, you've got
10 to let him finish his statement there.

11 THE WITNESS: All I was distinguishing between the
12 assigning and the using. Using can be done by multiple
13 people. The assignment can be done on a one-to-one basis,
14 and the construction by the Court is assignable on a
15 one-to-one basis, and the assignment is done on a one-to-one
16 basis. Once each assignment takes place, it is then can be
17 used by more than one and is routinely used by more than one
18 unless the system just starts and the first user comes in.

19 BY MR. GUTMAN:

20 Q. So it's used by multiple people at once, right?

21 A. It is used by multiple people at once.

22 Q. Let's talk about set-top boxes, numbers of set-top boxes.
23 I think you testified that at the time that Mr. Hoarty's work
24 commonly used set-top boxes, lacked the processing power and
25 the storage capacity to create origin or active experience;

1 is that right? Slide 66. That is what you said to the jury,
2 right?

3 A. That's correct, yeah.

4 Q. And you told the jury that one way to deal with this
5 problem is to actually have a big server in your home capable
6 of holding all the movies you might ever want to watch,
7 including Casablanca. Do you recall that testimony on
8 Friday?

9 A. Yeah. I said an example of what you could do but should
10 not do because it would be -- every home has to have a large
11 server in it, and it would not be a practical solution.

12 Q. Right. And when Mr. Hoarty, in talking about the
13 problems the patent was addressing, was talking about the
14 capacity of set-top boxes, are you telling the jury that the
15 comparison he was drawing was between the set-top box and a
16 server in the home?

17 A. If -- I don't know what Mr. Hoarty was talking about, but
18 what I was referring to was the fact that if you wanted to
19 provide the same kind of services that you currently can get
20 with an interactive television Video On Demand system where
21 all the videos are stored in the headend, then you want to
22 have the same ability, same speed, same number of movies,
23 same services, one way you could do it is invest tremendous
24 amount of money and just simply build it in your home. But
25 that is not a solution that most people would prefer.

1 Q. A server and the set-top box are not the same thing,
2 right?

3 A. A server and the set-top box are not the same thing, no.

4 Q. So to the extent that there were references by
5 Mr. Hoarty, references by all the ActiveVideo witnesses that
6 the differences between dumb and smart set-top boxes, nobody,
7 before your testimony on Friday, was comparing anything to
8 the server, were they, sir?

9 MR. LYONS: Objection, foundation.

10 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. You are
11 asking him to speculate about what they had in mind. Maybe
12 they were dead wrong if that's what they had in mind. So I
13 sustain that.

14 BY MR. GUTMAN:

15 Q. You never expressed an opinion in your expert report that
16 the comparison was to a server, did you, sir?

17 A. Nothing in the expert report, no.

18 Q. Nothing about servers as opposed to the existing set-top
19 boxes in your report, correct?

20 A. I believe that's correct.

21 Q. And you were here when Mr. Hoarty testified, weren't you,
22 about what he considered to be the difference between a smart
23 or a high end set-top box and the others?

24 A. I don't know whether I was here for that discussion.

25 Q. You didn't hear him say that an expensive set-top box

1 with a computer in it or a microprocessor is what he had in
2 mind in terms of the high end set-top box?

3 A. Yeah, no, I was not here for that.

4 Q. Now, let's look at the slides you prepared for the jury
5 here. First let's look at slide 54. This was the simple
6 set-top box you testified about; is that right, sir?

7 A. This is an example of what I said earlier, is an analog
8 set-top box, the kind of box that would be before digital
9 cable became widely available.

10 MR. GUTMAN: Okay. And then let's look at slide 69.
11 This -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. These are being published to
12 the jury? These are the same demonstratives that were shown
13 before.

14 THE COURT: Okay. You want those?

15 MR. GUTMAN: Yes.

16 THE COURT: They are being published, the last two.

17 BY MR. GUTMAN:

18 Q. Okay. Sorry. I keep forgetting to ask.

19 And this is the slide where you explained that the
20 advanced set-top boxes included additional components which
21 made them larger and more expensive, right?

22 A. If you were -- this was talking about the hypothetical
23 server-type set-top box that you would have in your home that
24 I was telling you you do not want to do it this way. And so,
25 yeah.

1 Q. I'm sorry. So this slide doesn't refer to set-top boxes,
2 advanced set-top boxes, it is meant to refer to servers?

3 A. It is meant to refer to the capability of downloading a
4 tremendous amount of video, storing it all on your own
5 set-top box, and if you were to do something equivalent to
6 what is available through FiOS where you can get thousands
7 and thousands of movies, you would need a very, very, very
8 large and very, very expensive set-top box.

9 Q. Let's look at slide 72. This is another slide you
10 prepared, right, showing an advanced set-top box?

11 A. Yeah. I don't know that I actually presented this to
12 the -- at trial, but this would be an example of something
13 equivalent to today's modern digital set-top box.

14 Q. Right. This is -- this was the advanced set-top box that
15 was in your presentation, right?

16 A. This is an example of the kind of set-top box you would
17 get almost everywhere, whether you use FiOS or Verizon or any
18 other system, HFC, whether you use Video On Demand or don't
19 use Video On Demand, this would be a modern digital set-top
20 box.

21 Q. This is an advanced set-top box. In 1990 this would have
22 been one of those expensive things, right?

23 A. You could not use this to store thousands and thousands
24 of movies.

25 Q. But that wasn't what Mr. Hoarty was talking about storing

1 thousands and thousands of movies, that is your view of it,
2 right?

3 A. I'm not sure what you're referring to but --

4 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, objection.

5 BY MR. GUTMAN:

6 Q. Was it included in your --

7 THE COURT: You have an objection to your last
8 question.

9 MR. GUTMAN: I'll withdraw it and let me ask --

10 THE COURT: Withdrawn. Okay.

11 BY MR. GUTMAN:

12 Q. The theory that it's really a server and not an advanced
13 set-top box is the theory you advanced here at trial but not
14 in your expert report, right?

15 A. It is not a theory. I just simply tried to convey in a
16 simple way some of the decision-making processes that would
17 face somebody if they wanted to have the ability to interact
18 with the television and provide the kind of services that we
19 would like to have and expect.

20 Q. And this set-top box, unlike the first one we looked at,
21 has a processor, and memory, a hard drive and a descrambler,
22 right?

23 A. By the time of the middle to late '90s, every time you
24 got a digital set-top box, and they were usually -- both were
25 available in the mid to late '90s, it would look like this.

1 It would have all of these components to make it digital, you
2 mean to have a processor and microprocessor and memory? If
3 you wanted to have the ability to DVR, like in here you would
4 need a hard drive. So all of these would be commonly used
5 from around the middle '90s to late '90s.

6 Q. Have you expressed the opinions, sir, that a system would
7 be the direct opposite of the invention required by the
8 asserted claims where the computing power needed for the
9 interactive services is placed in a node or -- sorry.

10 Have you stated the opinion, sir, that putting much
11 of the computing power needed for the interactive service in
12 the set-top box is the direct opposite of the invention
13 required by the asserted claims of the Hoarty patents?

14 A. That's correct, as long as it's understood in context
15 which it means the relative processing power compared to the
16 processing power in the server side, that's correct.

17 Q. And you stated that opinion in reference to the GTE main
18 street system; isn't that right, sir?

19 MR. LYONS: Objection, Your Honor. We are getting
20 into such matters as instructed not to pursue.

21 MR. GUTMAN: One more question on this.

22 THE COURT: As long as it is within the bounds of
23 what we are trying here, doesn't matter if it is a bad
24 question. If it is beyond where the Court wants to go, we
25 are not going there.

1 MR. GUTMAN: The point I want to make, Your Honor,
2 is that that system didn't have a server.

3 THE COURT: Well, you know, the question is that we
4 are dealing with the servers that in this case pertain to
5 this patent, so I sustain it.

6 BY MR. GUTMAN:

7 Q. Is it your opinion, Dr. Schonfeld, that the set-top box
8 software on the Verizon system provides a user interface and
9 allows subscribers to view and navigate programming?

10 A. The interactive media guide, as I explained, does provide
11 the ability to view and navigate programming, and it's in
12 combination between the software residing on the set-top box
13 as well as the software and data residing on the server.

14 Q. And that's run at the set-top box; isn't that right, sir?

15 A. The IMG client, the software portion on the set-top box
16 is run on the set-top box. The IMG software residing on the
17 IMG server is run on the server in the video hub office.

18 Q. But the set-top box software provides a user interface
19 that allows the subscribers to view and navigate the
20 programming; isn't that right, sir?

21 MR. LYONS: Asked and answered, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Sustained.

23 BY MR. GUTMAN:

24 Q. Now, you've been working in this field for a long time,
25 haven't you, sir?

1 A. Yes, I have.

2 Q. And you never heard of AVN over the last year or so in
3 the context of litigation, have you?

4 A. I think the term AVN, they changed the name of the
5 company, and I've heard of -- I think I heard -- I've heard
6 of the term AVN. I saw it in reference in passing, but
7 generally that's correct.

8 Q. Now, on Friday you told the jury that the patent
9 describes that if you're in the mood for watching Casablanca,
10 you can just press and click on it, and you'll receive the
11 movie and can watch it right then when you want to, right?

12 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat it? I'm sorry. I didn't
13 follow you.

14 Q. You told the jury that the patent describes the ability
15 to just press and click on Casablanca and watch the movie; is
16 that right?

17 A. Yeah, I gave an illustration of how the ideas of the
18 patent would manifest themselves, and my recollection is that
19 the patent actually provides an example of previewing
20 Casablanca, if I'm not mistaken.

21 Q. Let's look at the '678 patent, column 19, lines 28 to 45.
22 You see that language, sir? I'd like to publish to the jury.

23 THE COURT: It is already up.

24 MR. GUTMAN: Thank you.

25 THE COURT: It may very well be on your screen,

1 Professor, if you are looking for it.

2 THE WITNESS: I can see it.

3 BY MR. GUTMAN:

4 Q. Now, this talks -- this is from the patent, and it talks
5 about the smart TV selection permitting the subscriber to
6 search for listings of films, right?

7 A. To search for what, I'm sorry?

8 Q. Listings.

9 A. It provides for a number of services, and one of the
10 services allows for listing of the films.

11 Q. And it doesn't say anything in here about being able to
12 click it and watch it right then, does it?

13 A. It says on the bottom, one could, for example, choose --
14 it says to record Casablanca, but if you go back and -- if
15 you go to Figure 40 of the patent.

16 Q. Let's look at Figure 41. I think that is the one you
17 were showing the jury.

18 MR. LYONS: He asked for 40.

19 THE COURT: Take him back. He was in the process of
20 explaining the answer, and you said go to figure 40. Go back
21 to the previous slide, and he was at number 40.

22 MR. GUTMAN: Sure. Put up the slide we were at
23 before.

24 THE COURT: Now, you were in the process of
25 explaining something about Figure 40. What was it?

1 THE WITNESS: So if you actually can see Figure 40
2 in front of you, you have the patent available to you, and
3 you can actually see Figure 40. It has the option of
4 preview, watch and record, and the description, I believe,
5 said something of a selection and recording over here. It
6 says one could select Bogart and eventually produce the
7 listing of choices shown in Figure 40, and those choices
8 include things like preview or watch, and so that's exactly
9 what it's referring to. You can actually see Casablanca.

10 BY MR. GUTMAN:

11 Q. So this is Figure 40. This is the one you were talking
12 about?

13 A. That's correct. I just finished explaining.

14 Q. And this is a listing of the show times for different
15 Humphrey Bogart movies, right?

16 A. That's correct. In this particular example you may not
17 necessarily be able to watch it right then and there. The
18 example in this particular case is that you may be able to
19 watch it when it's available or something along these lines.
20 It was not meant to convey a Video On Demand application.

21 Q. Right. This is not -- I mean, you testified -- I think
22 we'll turn to Figure 41 in a moment, which I think is the one
23 you were showing the jury. But this Figure 40 does not show
24 Video On Demand, does it?

25 A. It does not necessarily imply Video On Demand. I didn't

1 mean to present it that this is exactly what it shows. But
2 the kind of interactive service that it provides with a
3 carousel, it's exactly the same kind of technology and the
4 same kind of interaction that you need to actually view the
5 movie in a Video On Demand context. It is exactly the same
6 procedure, same context, and it discusses the ability to do
7 Video On Demand in the patent as one example of the type of
8 interactive services it wants to provide.

9 Q. So this doesn't show Video on Demand, it doesn't even
10 show interactive TV, does it? It just shows a listing of
11 programs and tells you when they are on and which channels
12 they are on; isn't that right?

13 A. It shows a listing of programs at specific times, but the
14 program does talk about Video On Demand.

15 Q. And but it isn't Video On Demand, right?

16 A. This --

17 Q. When the time for the program is set and you can't just
18 say, I want it now, that is not Video On Demand, is it?

19 A. So you can interpret it any way, but given the fact that
20 it gives the actual time in this particular example, the
21 interactive through the carousel and moving the carousel, and
22 but the patent talks about Video On Demand. And if you were
23 to actually watch it, and if you interpret this figure to
24 allow you to watch it now, regardless of when it is showing,
25 then it would be Video On Demand.

1 Q. But there is nothing on here that indicates that you can
2 watch Casablanca anytime or anyplace other than at 7:30 p.m.
3 on Saturday on channel 12, right?

4 A. This would be one interpretation of this figure. As I
5 said, the carousel picture is meant to show an interactive
6 service through the carousel itself, and the interaction with
7 a carousel, which would be just like a Video On Demand. You
8 saw the pictures earlier of the video where the carousel is
9 moving. That was the video. And in this particular example
10 of it, it would actually show Casablanca. And you can do
11 various services with it, and potentially one of them would
12 be Video On Demand, although it's not clear from this figure
13 whether it is meant to be Video On Demand here or not.

14 Q. And there is nothing in that language that talks about
15 this figure that says you can watch any of these things,
16 anytime or anyplace, other than shown on the screen, right?

17 A. That's correct. It leaves it up to the reader to look at
18 this figure and make their own judgment.

19 Q. Channel 12 might be NBC, for all we know, right?

20 A. Channel 12?

21 Q. Channel 12 on this system could be a regular broadcast
22 station; isn't that right?

23 A. It appears from the word watching, watch it when it is
24 broadcast, then it would be the kind of example that you are
25 talking about.

1 Q. Now, you'll agree with me, won't you, sir, that regular
2 broadcast service is not interactive television; isn't that
3 right?

4 A. Clearly, that's correct.

5 Q. And commercials or advertisements that are included in
6 regular broadcast TV are not interactive, either, right?

7 A. You could get commercials interactively but not normal
8 commercials on regular broadcast, that is not -- but just to
9 put it in context, if this were to be broadcast, this is
10 still an interactive service because the carousel itself
11 provides an interactive service just like video would. As a
12 matter of fact, it would be video.

13 Q. Right. The only examples of interactivity you found in
14 the patent are the menus depicted in Figures 33 to 41, right?

15 A. Well, it talks about interactivity in the context of
16 Video On Demand and the kind of functionality and services
17 that are needed, but the example it illustrates is the
18 carousel that was shown to you both in the patent as well as
19 in the video.

20 Q. Right. Let's look at those figures, if we could, 33
21 through 41.

22 THE COURT: I think before we go there, Mr. Gutman,
23 I want you to stick a pin in it, and you can start tomorrow
24 morning on showing Figure 33 through 41. Try to hold that
25 question overnight.

1 MR. GUTMAN: I'll try to remember it, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we unfortunately
3 have to stop just a little bit short of our goal here.
4 Tomorrow morning I want you to come in and be prepared to go
5 forward at 9:30 tomorrow morning. You can leave your
6 materials in the jury room. Do not discuss the case and have
7 a safe evening. All rise.

8 (Jury out at 5:18 p.m.)

9 THE COURT: Of course, Professor, you know that goes
10 for you also. Okay.

11 Have a seat. You can step down. One short
12 question, Mr. Gutman. Will any other local counsel be asking
13 questions during the course of your case?

14 MR. GUTMAN: We had planned to have Mr. Stillman do
15 some.

16 THE COURT: Okay. The reason I ask that, because I
17 try to look back and remember who did I introduce to the jury
18 in the course of the case. That is another reason I try to
19 stick with the same local counsel.

20 MR. GUTMAN: It will be Mr. Stillman, and Mr. Frantz
21 will be doing the cross-examination of their damage expert.

22 THE COURT: All right. I think I mentioned him, or
23 did I? I mentioned him.

24 Okay. All right. Thank you, gentlemen.

25 MR. GUTMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issues you have tomorrow morning,
2 the Court is going to try to be in here by 9. I want to be
3 here before 9:30.

4 MR. GUTMAN: I think we are okay.

5 MR. FRANTZ: We have something. We have objections
6 to the slide for Mr. Wagner, would like to raise those before
7 we begin.

8 THE COURT: You have what now?

9 MR. FRANTZ: Objections to some of the slides they
10 intend to introduce through Mr. Wagner. So it would be good
11 to have a few minutes before we begin at 9:30 to address
12 those.

13 THE COURT: Okay. The Court will be in here around
14 9 if we are going to have some issues.

15 I'm sure you will work it out. Recess.

16 (Hearing adjourned at 5:20 p.m.)

17 CERTIFICATION

18
19 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
20 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
21

22 X_____ /s/ _____ x

23 Jody A. Stewart

24 X_____ 7-18-2011 _____ x

25 Date